

Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Pakistan-U.S. Relations

Updated December 11, 2002

K. Alan Kronstadt
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

CONTENTS

SUMMARY

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Historical Background

- Pakistan-India Rivalry

- The China Factor

- Pakistan Political Setting

 - Recent Developments

 - Background

Pakistan-U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues

- Security

 - Nuclear Weapons and Missile Proliferation

 - U.S. Nonproliferation Efforts

 - Kashmir Dispute

 - Congressional Action

 - Pakistan-U.S. Security Cooperation

- Democratization and Human Rights

 - Democratization Efforts

 - Human Rights Problems

- Economic Issues

 - Overview

 - Trade Issues

- Narcotics

- Terrorism

Pakistan-U.S. Relations

SUMMARY

Major areas of U.S. concern in Pakistan include the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction; counter-terrorism; settlement of the Kashmir dispute; democratization and human rights; and economic reform and development. A potential Pakistan-India nuclear arms race continues to be the focus of U.S. nonproliferation efforts in South Asia and a central issue in U.S. relations with both countries. This attention intensified following nuclear tests by both India and Pakistan in May 1998. South Asia is viewed by some observers as a likely prospect for use of such weapons, where both countries have deployed nuclear-capable ballistic missiles. India and Pakistan have fought three full-scale wars since 1947.

Pakistan-U.S. cooperation began in the mid-1950s as a security arrangement growing from U.S. concerns about Soviet expansionism and Pakistan's fear of neighboring India. Cooperation peaked during the 1979-89 Soviet occupation of Afghanistan. Pakistan-U.S. ties weakened following the October 1990 cutoff of U.S. aid and arms sales, which were suspended by President Bush under Section 620E(e) of the Foreign Assistance Act (the so-called Pressler Amendment). Further U.S. sanctions were imposed on Pakistan (and India) as a result of their 1998 nuclear tests.

The see-saw Pakistan-U.S. relationship has been on the upswing following Pakistan's enlistment as a frontline state in the U.S.-led anti-terror efforts resulting from the September 2001 attacks on the United States. Nuclear-related sanctions on Pakistan and India have been waived; Congress also has given the President authority to waive coup-related sanctions on Pakistan. Islamabad continues to make important contributions to U.S.-led anti-terror operations in the region.

Separatist violence in the Kashmir region continues. India blames Pakistan for the ongoing infiltration of Islamic militants into Indian Kashmir, a charge that Islamabad denies. The United States has received a pledge from the Musharraf government that all "cross-border terrorism" in the region will be ended.

The United States considers a stable, democratic, economically thriving Pakistan as key to U.S. interests in South, Central, and West Asia. Although ruled by military regimes for half of its existence, Pakistan had democratically elected governments from 1988 to 1999. During that period, Benazir Bhutto and Nawaz Sharif each served twice as prime minister. Neither leader served a full term, being dismissed by the president under constitutional provisions that have been used to dismiss four governments since 1985.

In October 1999, the government of Prime Minister Sharif was ousted in a bloodless coup led by Chief of Army Staff Gen. Pervez Musharraf. Musharraf has since assumed the title of President, a move ostensibly legitimized by a controversial April 2002 referendum. The United States has strongly urged the Pakistan military government to restore the country to civilian democratic rule. National elections held in October 2002 resulted in no clear majority party emerging and were marked by significant gains for a coalition of Islamic parties. Pakistan continues to face many serious problems, including a beleaguered economy, corruption, terrorism, and poor governance. Pakistan will receive well over one billion dollars in U.S. assistance and several billion dollars from international organizations to help strengthen the country as a strategically important state.



MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On November 21, six weeks after Pakistan held its first national elections since an October 1999 military coup, veteran politician Mir Zafarullah Jamali was elected Prime Minister by a fragile coalition of pro-military parties. Jamali is expected to maintain the fundamental foreign and economic policies of President Musharraf. A coalition of Islamic parties won a surprisingly large number of votes after running on what largely was an anti-American platform.

Tensions between Pakistan and India are somewhat subsided since the heightened acrimony of the past spring. Both countries have announced the redeployment to peacetime barracks of hundreds of thousands of troops that faced off at the Pakistan-India frontier for 10 months. Reported infiltrations of separatist militants into Indian-held Kashmir continue, but appear to have stabilized at moderate – if still unacceptable – levels. These movements may be taking place with the active support of Pakistan’s intelligence service. President Musharraf continues to deny any Pakistani role in such “cross-border terrorism.”

November press reports indicated that Pakistan provided nuclear weapons-related technologies to North Korea beginning in the late 1990s and continuing through July 2002. Islamabad denies that any such transfers have occurred. The reports have spurred some Members of Congress to seek a renewal of proliferation-related aid restrictions on Pakistan.

In November, U.S. Treasury Secretary O’Neill visited Islamabad where he praised Pakistan’s role in the anti-terror campaign, vowed to increase U.S. assistance to the country, and encouraged continued economic reforms by the Pakistan government. In December, U.S. Deputy National Security Advisor Hadley met with top Pakistani officials to discuss a range of bilateral issues. The meetings marked the opening dialogue between the United States and Pakistan’s new civilian government.

Also in November, Pakistani national Aimal Kanshi was executed in Virginia for two murders committed outside the CIA headquarters in 1993. Thousands of Pakistanis, many chanting anti-American slogans, attended Kanshi’s funeral in a western province where Islamist politicians are vowing to halt all U.S.-led efforts to track and capture Al Qaeda and Taliban fugitives.

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Historical Background

The long and checkered Pakistan-U.S. relationship has its roots in the Cold War and South Asia regional politics of the 1950s. U.S. concerns about Soviet expansionism and Pakistan’s desire for security assistance against a perceived threat from India prompted the two countries to negotiate a mutual defense assistance agreement in 1954. By the end of 1955, Pakistan had further aligned itself with the West by joining two regional defense pacts, the South East Asia Treaty Organization and the Central Treaty Organization. As a result

of these alliances and a 1959 U.S.-Pakistan cooperation agreement, Islamabad received more than \$700 million in military grant aid from 1955 to 1965. U.S. economic aid to Pakistan between 1951 and 1982 totaled more than \$5 billion.

Differing expectations of the security relationship have long bedeviled bilateral ties. During the Indo-Pakistani wars of 1965 and 1971, the United States suspended military assistance to both sides, resulting in a cooling of the Pakistan-U.S. relationship. In the mid-1970s, new strains arose over Pakistan's apparent efforts to respond to India's 1974 underground test of a nuclear device by seeking its own nuclear weapons capability. Limited U.S. military aid was resumed in 1975, but was suspended again by the Carter Administration in April 1979 in response to Pakistan's covert construction of a uranium enrichment facility.

Following the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan in December 1979, Pakistan was again viewed as a frontline state in the effort to block Soviet expansionism. In September 1981, the Reagan Administration negotiated a \$3.2 billion, 5-year economic and military aid package with Islamabad. Pakistan became a key transit country for arms supplies to the Afghan resistance, as well as a camp for some three million Afghan refugees, many of whom have yet to return home.

Despite the renewal of U.S. aid and close security ties, many in Congress remained concerned about Pakistan's nuclear weapons program. Concern was based in part on evidence of U.S. export control violations that suggested a crash Pakistani program to acquire a nuclear capability. In 1985, Section 620E(e) (the "Pressler amendment") was added to the Foreign Assistance Act, requiring the President to certify to Congress that Pakistan does not possess a nuclear explosive device during the fiscal year for which aid is to be provided. This amendment represented a compromise between those in Congress who thought that aid to Pakistan should be cut off because of evidence that it was continuing to develop its nuclear option and those who favored continued support for Pakistan's role in opposing Soviet occupation of Afghanistan. A \$4 billion, 6-year aid package for Pakistan was signed in 1986.

With Soviet withdrawal from Afghanistan beginning in May 1988, Pakistan's nuclear activities again came under closer U.S. scrutiny, and in October 1990 President Bush suspended aid to Pakistan. Under the provisions of the Pressler amendment, most economic and all military aid to Pakistan was stopped and deliveries of major military equipment suspended. Narcotics assistance of \$3-5 million annually was exempted from the aid cutoff. In 1992, Congress partially relaxed the scope of the aid cutoff to allow for P.L.480 food assistance and continuing support for nongovernmental organizations (NGOs).

One of the most serious results of the aid cutoff for Pakistan was the nondelivery of some 71 F-16 fighter aircraft ordered by Pakistan in 1989. In December 1998, the United States agreed to pay Pakistan \$324.6 million from the Judgment Fund of the U.S. Treasury – a fund used to settle legal disputes that involve the U.S. government – as well as provide Pakistan with \$140 million in goods, including agricultural commodities.

Pakistan-India Rivalry

Three wars – in 1947-48, 1965, and 1971 – and a constant state of military preparedness on both sides of the border have marked the half-century of bitter rivalry between India and Pakistan. The acrimonious nature of the partition of British India into two successor states in 1947 and the continuing dispute over Kashmir have been major sources of tension. Both Pakistan and India have built large defense establishments at the cost of economic and social development. The Kashmir problem is rooted in claims by both countries to the former princely state, divided since 1948 by a military line of control into the Indian state of Jammu and Kashmir and Pakistan-held Azad (Free) Kashmir. India blames Pakistan for supporting a separatist rebellion raging in the Muslim-dominated Kashmir Valley that has claimed more than 60,000 lives since 1989. Pakistan admits only to lending moral and political support to the rebellion, while accusing India of creating dissension in Pakistan's Sindh province (for further discussion see below).

The China Factor

India and China fought a brief border war in 1962, and an oftentimes tense border dispute remains unresolved. A strategic rivalry also exists between these two large nations. Pakistan and China, on the other hand, have enjoyed a generally close and mutually beneficial relationship over recent decades. Pakistan served as a link between Beijing and Washington in 1971, as well as a bridge to the Muslim world for China during the 1980s. China's continuing role as a major arms supplier for Pakistan began in the 1960s, and included helping to build a number of arms factories in Pakistan, as well as supplying complete weapons systems. In September 1990, China agreed to supply Pakistan with components for M-11 surface-to-surface missiles, which brought warnings from the United States. Although it is not a member of the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR), China repeatedly has agreed to abide by the restrictions of the regime. In August 1993, the United States determined that China had transferred to Pakistan prohibited missile technology and imposed trade sanctions on one Pakistani and 11 Chinese entities (government ministries and aerospace companies) for 2 years. The U.S. intelligence community reportedly has evidence of PRC provision of complete M-11 ballistic missiles to Pakistan. In February 1996, leaked U.S. intelligence reports alleged that in 1995 China sold ring magnets to Pakistan that could be used in enriching uranium for nuclear weapons. Pakistan denied the reports (for more on China-Pakistan technology transfers, see CRS Report RL31555, *China and Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Missiles: Policy Issues*, by Shirley Kan).

Pakistan Political Setting

Recent Developments. On April 30, 2002, Pakistanis went to the polls in a national referendum to extend President Musharraf's term by 5 years. The referendum question was, "For the survival of the local government system, establishment of democracy, continuity of reforms ... would you like to elect President General Pervez Musharraf as president of Pakistan for five years?" The president won 98% of the vote from an officially reported 50% voter turnout. A coalition of political parties that are opposed to the military government claimed that turnout was closer to 5% and denounced the referendum as fraudulent. Post-

referendum protestations were such that within weeks President Musharraf publically acknowledged and apologized for irregularities in the voting.

On August 21, 2002, Musharraf's government announced sweeping changes in the Pakistani constitution, changes that would provide the office of President and the armed forces with amounts of power previously unseen in the country's constitutional history. These amendments would include provisions for Presidential dissolution of the National Assembly, and appointment of the Army Chief and provincial governors, among others. The Supreme Court recently upheld a provision requiring all candidates for National Assembly seats to be college graduates. This amendment disqualifies more than 98% of all Pakistanis (and more than half of the politicians who served in the last parliament) from holding national office, and made it difficult for opposition parties to field many of their preferred candidates in the 2002 national elections.

On October 10, 2002, the country held its first national elections since 1997, thus fulfilling in a limited fashion Musharraf's promise to restore the National Assembly that was dissolved in the wake of his extra-constitutional seizure of power in October 1999. Opposition parties contesting the elections – along with European Union observers – have complained that the exercise was “flawed” and that the military government's pre-poll machinations skewed the results. No party won a majority of parliamentary seats, though a pro-government alliance won a plurality while a coalition of Islamist parties made a surprisingly strong showing. Low turnout rates caused many to identify significant levels of voter apathy with regard to Pakistan's electoral politics.

After more than five weeks of intensive maneuvering and several delays, the new National Assembly finally met on November 16, 2002, and five days later Musharraf supporter and former Baluchistan Chief Minister Mir Zafarullah Jamali was elected to serve as Pakistan's Prime Minister. Musharraf has pledged to turn day-to-day rule of the country over to the civilian leadership. Most analysts believe that the current pro-Musharraf coalition, while fragile and potentially unstable, likely will mean continuity in Islamabad's economic and foreign policy orientations (see CRS Report RS 21299, *Pakistan's Domestic Political Developments*, by Alan Kronstadt).

In an unexpected turn of the October elections, the United Action Forum (known as MMA in its Urdu-language acronym), a coalition of six Islamic parties, won 60 seats – nearly 18% of the total – in the national assembly and now controls the provincial assembly in the North West Frontier Province (NWFP) and has considerable influence in that of Baluchistan. These provinces are Pashtun-majority regions that border Afghanistan and where vital U.S. anti-terror operations are ongoing. This result has led to concerns that a major shift in Pakistan's foreign policy may be in the offing, most especially with renewed indications of the “Talibanization” of western border regions.

There also has been a gradual easing of pressure on Islamic fundamentalist groups in Pakistan since President Musharraf's January 2002 speech vowing to halt terrorist activities on Pakistani soil. The leader of the pro-Taliban Jamiat-e-Ulema Islam was released from prison, while the head of the banned terrorist organization Jaish-e-Mohammad was released from prison and placed under house arrest. On Pakistan's national day in August 2002, the Jamaat-i-Islami was allowed to hold a public gathering in Rawalpindi, seat of the army's General Headquarters. Leaders and activists of the moderate and secular Alliance for the

Restoration of Democracy were arrested in Lahore when they tried to exercise their right of association. In November 2002, the founder of the Pakistan-based Lashkar-e-Taiba – a group designated as a terrorist organization by the U.S. government – was released from a Pakistani prison and has vowed to continue the “holy war” in Kashmir.

Changes have also taken place in Pakistan’s Kashmir policy. In an effort to assuage international concerns, President Musharraf has moved away from direct support of the banned Jaish-e-Mohammed and Lashkar-e-Taiba groups. Instead, it is expected that he will call for the people of Kashmir to determine their own future without the support of the Pakistan-based Islamic jihadi network. A recent meeting in Azad Kashmir reflects Pakistan’s changing Kashmir policy: the meeting was attended by the All-Parties Hurriyat Conference (an umbrella organization of 23 separatist groups), leading Kashmiri intellectuals, and three Pakistani brigadiers. Participants concluded that the policies of Kashmir fighters should represent Kashmiri interests rather than those of Pakistan. Moreover, recent state elections in India’s Jammu and Kashmir resulted in the unseating of that state’s long-ruling National Conference party, a development viewed by many as strengthening New Delhi’s argument that the state’s voters were able to express themselves freely despite separatist attempts to stain the process through threats and violence. Islamabad denies any legitimacy to the Kashmir elections and continues to insist that the people of the region be allowed to vote in a plebiscite under U.N. auspices.

Background. Military regimes have ruled Pakistan for more than half of its 55 years in existence, interspersed with periods of generally weak civilian governance. After 1988, Pakistan had democratically elected governments, and the army appeared to have moved from its traditional role of power wielder or kingmaker to one of power broker or referee. During the past decade, Benazir Bhutto and Nawaz Sharif each served twice as prime minister. Bhutto was elected prime minister in October 1988, following the death of military ruler Mohammad Zia-ul Haq in a plane crash. General Zia had led a coup in 1977 deposing Bhutto’s father, Prime Minister Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, who was later executed. Despite the restoration of democratic process to Pakistan in 1988, the succeeding years were marred by political instability, economic problems, and ethnic and sectarian violence. In August 1990, President Ishaq Khan dismissed Bhutto for alleged corruption and inability to maintain law and order. The president’s power to dismiss the prime minister derived from Eighth Amendment provisions of the Pakistan constitution, which dated from the era of Zia’s presidency.

Elections held in October 1990 brought to power Nawaz Sharif, who himself was ousted in 1993 under the Eighth Amendment provisions. The 1993 elections returned Bhutto and the PPP to power. The new Bhutto government faced serious economic problems and, according to some observers, performance also was hampered by the reemergence of Bhutto’s husband, Asif Ali Zardari, in a decisionmaking role. In November 1996, President Farooq Leghari dismissed the Bhutto government for “corruption, nepotism, and violation of rules in the administration of the affairs of the Government,” and scheduled new elections for February 1997.

Nawaz Sharif’s PML won a landslide victory in the February 1997 parliamentary elections, which, despite low voter turnout, were judged by international observers to be generally free and fair. Sharif moved quickly to consolidate his power by curtailing the powers of the President and the judiciary. In April 1997, the Parliament passed the

Thirteenth Amendment to the constitution, deleting the President's former Eighth Amendment powers to dismiss the government and to appoint armed forces chiefs and provincial governors. The new amendment was passed unanimously by both houses of parliament and signed by President Leghari. As the result of a power struggle, Sharif replaced the Supreme Court Chief Justice in November; Leghari resigned; and Sharif chose Mohammad Rafiq Tarar as president. As a result of these developments and the PML control of the Parliament, Nawaz Sharif emerged as one of Pakistan's strongest elected leaders since independence. Critics accused him of further consolidating his power by intimidating the opposition and the press. In April 1999, a two-judge Bench of the Lahore High Court convicted former Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto and her husband of corruption and sentenced them each to 5 years in prison, fined them \$8.6 million, and disqualified them from holding public office. Bhutto was out of the country at the time. Some analysts believe that Sharif acted out "political vindictiveness." In April 2001, the Pakistan Supreme Court ruled that former Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto's 1999 conviction for corruption was biased and ordered a retrial.

Pakistan-U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues

U.S. policy interests in Pakistan encompass a wide range of issues, including nuclear weapons and missile proliferation; South Asian regional stability; democratization and human rights; economic reform and market opening; and efforts to counter terrorism and narcotics traffic. These concerns have been affected by several developments in recent years, including: 1) the cutoff of U.S. aid to Pakistan in 1990, 1998, and 1999 over nuclear and democracy issues; 2) India and Pakistan's worsening relationship over Kashmir since 1989 and their continuing nuclear standoff; 3) Pakistan's halting attempts to develop a stable democratic government and strong economy in the post-cold war era; and, most recently, 4) the September 2001 terrorist attacks against the United States.

The Bush Administration has identified exiled Saudi dissident Osama bin Laden, who had long been harbored by the Taliban government in Afghanistan, as the prime suspect in the terrorist attacks on the United States. On September 13, 2001, President Musharraf – under strong U.S. diplomatic pressure – offered President Bush Pakistan's "unstinted cooperation in the fight against terrorism." Because of its shared border with Afghanistan and former close ties with the Taliban, Pakistan is considered key to U.S.-led efforts to combat terrorism in the region. The Taliban and bin Laden enjoy strong support among a substantial percentage of the Pakistan population, who share not only conservative Islamic views but also ethnic and cultural ties with Afghanistan. A major issue facing the Administration is how to make use of Pakistan's support – including for military operations in Afghanistan – without seriously destabilizing an already fragile state that has nuclear weapons and ballistic missiles.

In an effort to shore up the Musharraf government, sanctions relating to Pakistan's (and India's) 1998 nuclear tests and Pakistan's 1999 military coup were waived in September and October of last year. In October 2001, a State Department official pledged well over one billion dollars in U.S. assistance for Pakistan and several billion dollars from international organizations to help strengthen it as a key member of the U.S.-led anti-terrorism coalition. Direct assistance programs will include aid for health, education, food, democracy promotion, child labor elimination, counter-narcotics, border security and law enforcement,

as well as trade preference benefits. The United States also has supported grant, loan, and debt rescheduling programs for Pakistan by the various international financial institutions, including the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, and Asian Development Bank. In addition, Pakistan has received promises of substantial aid, debt relief, and trade concessions from Japan and the European Union in recognition of its support for the international anti-terrorism coalition. Japan, Pakistan's largest bilateral aid donor, announced on October 26, 2001, that it was suspending sanctions imposed on Pakistan and India following their 1998 nuclear tests.

On September 12, 2002, President Bush met with President Musharraf in New York City, after both leaders had addressed the U.N. General Assembly. The U.S. President reportedly urged his Pakistani counterpart to ensure that his government take all necessary steps to end the movement of militants into Indian-controlled Kashmir, and also to see that the country remain on the path to full democracy.

Security

Nuclear Weapons and Missile Proliferation. U.S. policy analysts consider the apparent arms race between India and Pakistan as posing perhaps the most likely prospect for the future use of nuclear weapons. India conducted its first, and only, previous nuclear test in May 1974, following which it maintained ambiguity about the status of its nuclear program. Pakistan probably gained a nuclear weapons capability sometime in the 1980s. India is believed to have enough fissile material for 75 or more nuclear weapons. Pakistan may have enough for 25 nuclear weapons. Both countries have aircraft capable of delivering nuclear bombs. India has short-range missiles (Prithvi) and is reported to have inducted an intermediate-range ballistic missile (Agni) with enough payload to carry a nuclear warhead. Pakistan reportedly has acquired technology and short-range missiles (Shaheen) from China and medium-range missiles (Ghauri) from North Korea, both capable of carrying small nuclear warheads.

November 2002 press reports suggest that Pakistan assisted Pyongyang's covert nuclear weapons program by providing North Korea with uranium enrichment materials and technologies beginning in the mid-1990s and as recently as July 2002. If such assistance is confirmed by President Bush, all non-humanitarian U.S. aid to Pakistan may be suspended, although the President has the authority to waive any sanctions that he determines would jeopardize U.S. national security. Islamabad adamantly rejects as "baseless" such reports, and Secretary of State Powell has been assured that no such transfers are occurring.

Proliferation in South Asia may be part of a chain of rivalries – India seeking to achieve deterrence against China, and Pakistan seeking to gain an "equalizer" against a larger and conventionally stronger India. India began its nuclear program in the mid-1960s, after its 1962 defeat in a short border war with China and China's first nuclear test in 1964. Despite a 1993 Sino-Indian troop reduction agreement and some easing of tensions, both nations continue to deploy forces along their border. Pakistan's nuclear program was prompted by India's 1974 nuclear test and by Pakistan's defeat by India in the 1971 war and consequent loss of East Pakistan, now independent Bangladesh.

Since the September 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States, U.S. and Pakistani officials have held talks on improving security and installing new safeguards on Pakistan's

nuclear weapons and nuclear power plants. Fears that Pakistan could become destabilized by the U.S. anti-terrorism war efforts in Afghanistan have heightened U.S. nuclear proliferation concerns in South Asia. On May 11 and 13, 1998, India conducted a total of five underground nuclear tests, breaking a 24-year, self-imposed moratorium on nuclear testing. Despite U.S. and world efforts to dissuade it, Pakistan followed, claiming five tests on May 28, 1998, and an additional test on May 30. The unannounced tests created a global storm of criticism, as well as a serious setback for two decades of U.S. nuclear nonproliferation efforts in South Asia (see CRS Report RL31589, *Nuclear Threat Reduction Measures for India and Pakistan*, by Sharon Squassoni and CRS Report RL30623, *Nuclear Weapons and Ballistic Missile Proliferation in India and Pakistan: Issues for Congress*, by Alan Kronstadt).

U.S. Nonproliferation Efforts. Neither India nor Pakistan are signatories to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT) or the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT). India has consistently rejected both treaties as discriminatory, calling instead for a global nuclear disarmament regime. Pakistan traditionally has maintained that it will sign the NPT and CTBT only when India does so. Aside from security concerns, the governments of both countries are faced with the prestige factor attached to their nuclear programs and the domestic unpopularity of giving them up. Following the 1998 tests, the United States set forth five nonproliferation “benchmarks” for India and Pakistan. They include the following: halt further nuclear testing and sign and ratify the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty; halt fissile material production and pursue Fissile Material Control Treaty negotiations; refrain from deploying nuclear weapons and testing ballistic missiles; restrict totally the exportation of any nuclear materials or technologies; and take steps to reduce bilateral tensions, especially on the issue of Kashmir.

In May 1998, following the South Asian nuclear tests, President Clinton imposed economic and military sanctions on India and Pakistan as mandated under Section 102 of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA). Humanitarian assistance, food, or other agricultural commodities are exempted from sanctions under the law. In November 1998, the U.S. Department of Commerce published a list of more than 300 Indian and Pakistani government agencies and companies suspected of working on nuclear, missile, and other weapons programs. Any U.S. exports to these entities required a Commerce Department license, and most license requests reportedly were denied. On the one hand, Pakistan was less affected than India by the sanctions, since most U.S. assistance to Pakistan had been cut off since 1990. On the other hand, Pakistan’s much smaller – and currently weaker – economy was more vulnerable to the effects of the sanctions (see CRS Report RS20995, *India and Pakistan: Current U.S. Economic Sanctions*, by Dianne Rennack).

Kashmir Dispute. The prospects for India-Pakistan detente suffered a severe setback in May-July 1999, when the two countries teetered on the brink of their fourth war, once again in Kashmir. In the worst fighting since 1971, Indian soldiers sought to dislodge some 700 Pakistan-supported infiltrators who were occupying fortified positions along mountain ridges overlooking a supply route on the Indian side of the line of control (LOC) near Kargil. Following a meeting between then Pakistan Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif and President Clinton in Washington on July 4, 1999 the infiltrators withdrew across the LOC.

Tensions between India and Pakistan remained extremely high in the wake of the Kargil conflict, which cost more than 1,100 lives. Throughout 2000-2002, intermittent cross-border

firing and shelling has caused scores of both military and civilian deaths. India accuses Pakistan of sending a flood of militants into Kashmir. Pakistan accuses India of human rights violations in Kashmir. The United States strongly urged India and Pakistan to create the proper climate for peace, respect the LOC, reject violence, and return to the Lahore peace process. A six-month-long unilateral cease-fire and halt to offensive military operations in Kashmir was undertaken by India in 2000-2001 and the Pakistani government responded by announcing that its forces deployed along the LOC in Kashmir would observe maximum restraint and that some of its troops would be pulled back. Kashmir's main militant groups, however, rejected the cease-fire as a fraud and continued to carry out attacks on military personnel and government installations. As security forces conducted counter-operations, deaths of Kashmiri civilians, militants, and Indian security forces continued to rise.

In May 2001, the Indian government announced that it was ending its unilateral cease-fire in Kashmir but that Prime Minister Vajpayee would invite President Musharraf to India for talks. The July summit talks in Agra between Musharraf and Vajpayee failed to produce a joint communique, reportedly as a result of pressure from hardliners on both sides. Major stumbling blocks were India's refusal to acknowledge the "centrality of Kashmir" to future talks and Pakistan's objection to references to "cross-border terrorism." According to Indian government reports, more than 2,000 people have died since January 2001 as a result of the fighting in Jammu and Kashmir state, including 618 civilians, 1,133 militants, and 228 security forces. According to Amnesty International, more than 1,100 people have disappeared in Kashmir since the revolt began in 1989.

In October 2001, U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell visited Pakistan and India in an effort partly aimed at easing the escalating tensions over Kashmir. Yet a terrorist attack on the Jammu and Kashmir state assembly during the same month was followed by a December 2001 terrorist attack on the Indian Parliament in New Delhi. Both incidents were blamed on Pakistan-based militant groups. The Indian government responded by mobilizing hundreds of thousands of troops to forward stations along the Pakistan-India frontier and threatening war unless Islamabad put an end to all cross-border infiltrations of Islamic militants. Under significant international diplomatic pressure and the threat of India's use of possibly massive force, President Musharraf in January 2002 vowed to end the presence of terrorist entities on Pakistani soil and upwards of 2,000 radicals were jailed (many of these have since been released).

Despite the Pakistani pledge, infiltrations into Indian-held Kashmir continued, and a May 2002 terrorist attack on an Indian army base at Kaluchak killed 34, most of them women and children. This event again brought Pakistan and India to the brink of full-scale war, and caused Islamabad to recall army troops from both patrol operations along the Pakistan-Afghanistan border as well as from international peacekeeping operations. Pakistan also tested three ballistic missiles in late-May 2002 – the intermediate range Ghauri and the short-range Ghaznavi and Abdali – sending an implicit message to India that it would employ nuclear weapons in a conflict.

A flurry of intensive diplomatic missions to South Asia appears to have reduced tensions during the summer of 2002 and prevented the outbreak of war. Numerous top U.S. diplomats were involved in this effort. As of December 2002, both Pakistan and India are in the process of redeploying their troops to peacetime barracks. The latter months of 2002 have seen an apparent reduction of militant infiltration across the line of control, though such

movements are ongoing and may be taking place with the active support of Pakistani security services. The U.S. government continues to strenuously urge the two countries to renew a bilateral dialogue that has been moribund since the summer of 2001. New Delhi refuses to engage such dialogue until it is satisfied that Pakistan has ended all militant infiltration into its Jammu and Kashmir state (for further reading, see CRS Report RS20277, *Recent Developments in Kashmir and U.S. Concerns*, by Amit Gupta and Kaia Leather, and RL31587, *Kashmiri Separatists: Origins, Competing Ideologies, and Prospects for Resolution of the Conflict*, by Kaia Leather).

Congressional Action. Through a series of legislative measures, Congress has incrementally lifted sanctions on Pakistan and India resulting from their 1998 nuclear tests. In October 1999, Congress passed H.R. 2561, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, and it was signed by the President as P.L. 106-79 on October 29 of that year. Title IX of the act gives the President authority to waive sanctions applied against Pakistan and India in response to the nuclear tests. In a presidential determination on Pakistan and India issued on October 27, 1999, the President waived economic sanctions on India. Pakistan, however, remained under sanctions triggered under Section 508 of the annual foreign assistance appropriations act as a result of the October 1999 coup. The Foreign Operations Export Financing and Related Appropriations Agencies Act, 2001 provided an exception under which Pakistan could be provided U.S. foreign assistance funding for basic education programs (P.L. 106-429; Section 597). The U.S. Agency for International Development request for FY2003 includes \$7 million for programs to strengthen civil society and reform public education in Pakistan.

After the terrorist attack on the United States on September 11, 2001, and in recognition of Pakistan's cooperation with the U.S.-led coalition being assembled, policymakers searched for new means of providing assistance to Pakistan. President Bush's issuance of a final determination on September 22, 2001, removed remaining sanctions on Pakistan and India resulting from their 1998 nuclear test, finding that denying export licences and assistance was not in the national security interests of the United States. Also, on October 27, President Bush signed into law S. 1465 (P.L. 107-57), which gives the President 2-year waiver authority to lift sanctions on foreign assistance imposed on Pakistan following the 1999 military coup if he determines that such a waiver would facilitate the transition to democratic rule in Pakistan and is important to U.S. efforts to combat international terrorism. The law not only gives the president authority to waive sanctions related to democracy but to waive sanctions imposed on Pakistan for its debt servicing arrearage to the United States under the terms of the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act. At the end of 2000, Pakistan's international debt was estimated at \$38 billion. P.L. 107-57 allowed for an agreement of Pakistan to reschedule \$379 million of its debt to the United States thereby enabling it to cancel its arrearage. After President Musharraf's visit to Washington, D.C. in February 2002, President Bush wrote a letter to Congress stating that he had ordered \$220 million in emergency funds that had been given to the Defense Department for warfighting and to the State Department for security upgrades, be reallocated to Pakistan "for costs incurred in aiding U.S. military forces in Operation Enduring Freedom."

For FY2003, the Bush Administration has proposed increased funding for Pakistan that includes \$50 million for development assistance (up from an estimated \$15 million in 2001), \$200 million in the Economic Support Fund (up from \$9.5 million in 2001), \$1 million for International Military Education and Training (same as 2001), \$50 million for Foreign

Military Financing (up from zero in 2001), and \$4 million for International Narcotics Control (up from \$2.5 million in 2001) (for details, see CRS Report RS20995, *India and Pakistan: Current U.S. Economic Sanctions*, by Dianne Rennack).

Some Members of Congress seek to reimpose restrictions on aid to Pakistan in light of what are perceived to be continuing anti-democratic practices by the Musharraf government. On July 17, 2002, Rep. Frank Pallone introduced H.R. 5150 to repeal the U.S. President's authority to waive economic sanctions and end assistance to Pakistan as a country whose elected head of government was deposed by military coup. Other Members seek to modify the President's waiver authority. On July 26, 2002, Rep. Gary Ackerman introduced H.R. 5267 that would require Presidential certification of Pakistan's successful efforts to halt cross-border terrorism into India, that the country's national elections are conducted freely and fairly, and that waivers on aid restrictions would facilitate both U.S. anti-terror efforts and the transition to democratic rule in Pakistan.

Pakistan-U.S. Security Cooperation. The close U.S.- Pakistan military ties of the Cold War era – which had come to near halt after the 1990 aid cutoff – appear to be in the process of being restored as a result of Pakistan's role in the U.S. anti-terrorism operations in Afghanistan. Pakistan also has been a leading country in supporting U.N. peacekeeping efforts with troops and observers. Some 5,000 Pakistani troops were stationed in Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates as part of the U.S.-led Persian Gulf War efforts in 1990. Pakistani troops played an important role in the U.S.-led humanitarian operations in Somalia from 1992 to 1994. In August 2002, over 4,700 Pakistani troops and observers participating in U.N. peacekeeping efforts in Sierra Leone, East Timor, Kosovo, Congo, and other countries, making Pakistan the leading contributor of such forces by any member nation save Bangladesh.

In the wake of the September 2001 attacks against the United States and President Musharraf's participation in the anti-terror coalition, U.S. law enforcement agents have assisted in tracking and capturing Al Qaeda and Taliban fugitives that have sought refuge in Pakistani cities. U.S. special operations soldiers are reportedly working with Pakistani security forces in their efforts to track and capture those fugitive militants who remain in the mountainous region of western Pakistan near the Afghan border.

In July 2002, Congress was notified of two Foreign Military Sales arrangements with Pakistan reportedly worth \$230 million. Under the deals, Pakistan is to receive seven used C-130E transport aircraft (one being for spare parts) and six Aerostats (sophisticated, balloon-mounted surveillance radars). These mark the first notable arms sales to Pakistan in more than a decade and are intended to bolster Islamabad's efforts to move troops quickly and detect infiltration (thus aiding in anti-terror operations). Islamabad continues to seek U.S. weapons and technology, especially in an effort to bolster its air forces. Several Members of Congress are reported to be supportive of these efforts. A revived high-level U.S.-Pakistan defense consultative group – moribund for the past 5 years – met in late-September 2002 and included high-level discussions of military cooperation, security assistance, and anti-terrorism (see CRS Report RL31624, *Pakistan-U.S. Anti-Terrorism Cooperation*, by Alan Kronstadt).

Democratization and Human Rights

Democratization Efforts. The United States considers the October 1999 Pakistan military coup to be a serious setback to the country's efforts to return to the democratic election process beginning in 1988. National elections, judged by domestic and international observers to be generally free and fair, were held in 1988, 1990, 1993, and 1997. Pakistan democracy between 1988 and 1999 was, however, marred by wide-scale corruption, volatile mass-based politics, and a continuing absence of symmetry between the development of the military and civilian bureaucracies and political institutions. The politics of confrontation between parties and leaders flourished at the expense of effective government; frequent walkouts and boycotts of the national and provincial assemblies often led to paralysis and instability. The major political parties lacked grassroots organization and failed to be responsive to the electorate.

There had been hopes that national elections in October 2002 would reverse the trend and set Pakistan back on the path toward democratic governance. Such hopes have been eroded by the passage of a number of highly restrictive election laws – including those that apparently will prevent the country's two leading civilian politicians from participating – as well as President Musharraf's unilateral imposition of major constitutional amendments in August 2002. The United States has expressed concern that these developments may make the realization of true democracy in Pakistan more elusive (see CRS Report RS21299, *Pakistan's Domestic Political Developments*, by Alan Kronstadt).

Human Rights Problems. The U.S. State Department, in its *Pakistan Country Report on Human Rights Practices for 2001* (issued March 4, 2002), noted that, although Pakistan's human rights record remained poor under the military government, there were improvements in some areas, including freedom of the press. The government bureaucracy continued to function but was "monitored" by the military. The State Department report cites continuing problems of police abuse, religious discrimination, and child labor. Security forces were cited for committing extrajudicial killings and for using arbitrary arrest and detention, torturing and abusing prisoners and detainees, and raping women. Political and religious groups also engaged in killings and persecution of their rivals and ethnic and religious minorities. Politically motivated violence and a deteriorating law and order situation reportedly continued to be a serious problem.

In recent years, the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan and Amnesty International have issued reports critical of Pakistan's lack of political freedoms and of the country's alleged abuses of the rights of women and minorities. According to the reports, rape is a serious problem, particularly the rape of minors and gang rape. The State Department human rights report also noted a high rate of abuse of female prisoners – including rape and torture – by male police officers. Women also suffer discrimination in education, employment, and legal rights. Discrimination against women is widespread, and traditional constraints – cultural, legal, and spousal – have kept women in a subordinate position in society. The adult literacy rate for men in Pakistan is about 50% and for women about 24%. Religious minorities – mainly Christians, Hindus, and Ahmadi Muslims – reportedly are subjected to discriminatory laws and social intolerance. A 1974 amendment to the Pakistan constitution declared Ahmadis to be a non-Muslim minority because they do not accept Muhammad as the last prophet. In 1984, the Zia government made it illegal for an Ahmadi to call himself a Muslim or use Muslim terminology. Blasphemy laws, instituted under the Zia regime and

strengthened in 1991, carry a mandatory death penalty for blaspheming the Prophet or his family. Blasphemy charges reportedly are usually brought as a result of personal or religious vendettas.

Economic Issues

Overview. The long-term economic outlook for Pakistan continues to be rather bleak, given a low national savings rate, and high population and labor force growth rates in a country that remains highly dependent on foreign lending and the importation of basic commodities. In the middle-term, political stability in the wake of October 2002 elections could brighten the outlook by providing President Musharraf with a political base for the further pursuit of economic reform. In the short-term, substantial fiscal deficits and the still urgent dependency on external aid donations counterbalance a major overhaul of the tax collection system and what have been modest gains in the Karachi Stock Exchange.

Pakistan's current military government inherited an economy in serious recession and GDP growth rates have been sluggish for several years. The country's real GDP for FY2002 (ending June 2002) was estimated at \$272 billion, up some 3.6% from the previous year. FY2001 saw the economy grow by only 2.6%, a significant decline from FY2000. Islamabad's goal of 5% growth for FY2003 is unlikely to be met, though most predictions put the rate at something more than 4%.

The Pakistani government has stabilized the country's external debt at \$36.5 billion and the country's total liquid reserves grew to \$6.43 billion by November 2002 – an increase of more than \$4 billion since October 1999. In December 2001, the Paris Club of creditor nations agreed to reschedule \$12.5 billion in repayments on Pakistan's external debt – one-third of the country's total burden. Pakistan's economic reforms and a more prudent fiscal policy have reduced the fiscal deficit from 7% of GDP to about 5.2% of GDP. Foreign remittances for 2001 exceeded \$1.6 billion – \$772 million more than in 2000. Inflation, at 3%, is at the lowest in three decades. Interest on public debt together with defense spending, however, consume 70% of total revenues, thus squeezing out development expenditure, including social spending.

A decade of political instability has left a legacy of crippling foreign debt, declining production and growth rates, halting economic reform policies, and pervasive corruption. Foreign debt totals more than \$36 billion, though that amount is declining and foreign reserves are up significantly in recent years. Output from both the industrial and service sectors grew in 2001, but the agricultural sector's output has continued to decline enough to significantly slow growth overall. Agricultural labor accounts for nearly half of the country's work force.

Over the long term, many analysts believe that Pakistan's resources and comparatively well-developed entrepreneurial skills may hold promise for more rapid economic growth and development. This is particularly true for Pakistan's textile industry, which accounts for 60% of Pakistan's exports. Analysts point to the pressing need to broaden the country's tax base in order to provide increased revenue for investment in improved infrastructure, health, and education, all prerequisites for economic development. Less than 1% of Pakistanis currently pay income taxes. Agricultural income has not been taxed in the past, largely because of the domination of parliament and the provincial assemblies by wealthy landlords.

Successive Bhutto and Sharif governments made agreements with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), promising austerity, deficit reduction, and improved tax collection in return for loans and credits. The promised reforms, however, fell victim to political instability and a host of other problems, including floods, drought, crop viruses, strikes, a bloated and inefficient bureaucracy, widespread tax evasion, weak infrastructure, and a swollen defense budget. The Musharraf government has had some modest successes in effecting economic reform, and participation in the post-September 2001 anti-terror coalition appears to have eased somewhat Islamabad's severe national debt situation, with many countries, including the United States, boosting bilateral assistance efforts.

A June 2002 IMF report stated that Pakistan is making progress toward stated macroeconomic objectives. It notes particular successes in the areas of tax administration, fiscal transparency, and privatization. An October 2002 World Bank report commended Pakistan for bringing about macroeconomic stability and implementing wide-ranging structural reforms to spur economic growth, while also noting that the country's poverty levels are both high and static. A November 2002 IMF report identifies a "worrisome trend of declining growth" linked in part to "a turbulent domestic and regional political environment." During a November 2002 visit to the region, a top U.S. State Department official expressed appreciation of Islamabad recent economic reform efforts and pledged continued U.S.-Pakistan cooperation on the economic front.

In the view of the International Financial Institutions – the World Bank, the IMF, and the Asian Development Bank – the major risk to economic reforms and to future investment is the possibility that there might be a break in the continuity of policy after the October 2002 national elections. As of December 2002, this does not appear to be the case. The November 2002 seating of a pro-Musharraf ruling coalition in the Parliament has added to analysts confidence that reforms will remain on track. The Bush Administration has promised Islamabad a \$1 billion debt write-off, though the Congress has yet to pass the required legislation for such an award.

Trade Issues. During January-June 2002, total U.S. imports from Pakistan were worth just over \$1 billion, nearly identical to the previous year's amount. More than half of this value came from the purchase of textiles and clothing. U.S. exports to Pakistan during this period were worth only \$316 million, but this represents a major increase of 50% over the first half of 2001. Pakistan ranked as the 65th largest U.S. trade partner in 2001, with the United States consuming \$2.2 billion worth of Pakistani goods and exporting \$556 million worth in return, for a negative trade balance of approximately \$1.7 billion.

According to the report of the U.S. Trade Representative for 2002, Pakistan has made progress in reducing import tariff schedules, though a number of trade barriers remain. Some items are either restricted or banned from importation for reasons related to religion, national security, luxury consumption, or protection of local industries. The U.S. pharmaceutical industry believes that Pakistan maintains discriminatory practices that impede U.S. manufacturer profitability. Other American companies have repeatedly complained about violations of their intellectual property rights in the areas of patents and copyrights. The International Intellectual Property Alliance estimated trade losses of \$143.3 million in 2001, and widespread piracy, especially of copyrighted materials, has kept Pakistan on the "Special 301" watch list for 13 consecutive years.

Narcotics

Pakistan is a major transit country for opiates that are grown and processed in Afghanistan and western Pakistan, then distributed throughout the world by Pakistan-based traffickers. The region has supplied a reported 20%-40% of heroin consumed in the United States and 70% of that consumed in Europe, and is second only to Southeast Asia's Golden Triangle as a source of the world's heroin. Although much of the heroin is smuggled by land and sea routes to Europe and the United States, a substantial portion is consumed by Pakistan's rapidly growing domestic market. The Pakistan government estimates the 4 million drug addicts in the country include 1.5 million addicted to heroin. According to some experts, Pakistan's drug economy amounts to as much as \$20 billion. Drug money reportedly is used to buy influence throughout Pakistan's economic and political systems.

The U.S. Department of State calls "excellent" Pakistan's cooperation on drug control with the United States. In March 2002, Pakistan was among the countries certified by President Bush as having cooperated fully with the United States in counter-narcotics efforts, or to have taken adequate steps on their own. The Islamabad government has made impressive strides in eradicating opium poppy cultivation. Estimated production in 2001 was only 5 metric tons, down 59% from 2000 and less than one-thirtieth of the estimated 155 tons produced in 1995.

Pakistan's counter-narcotics efforts continue to be hampered by a number of factors, including lack of total government commitment; scarcity of funds; poor infrastructure in drug-producing regions; government wariness of provoking unrest in tribal areas; and corruption among police, government officials, and local politicians. U.S. counter-narcotics aid to Pakistan, administered by the State Department's Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs, totaled \$3.5 million in FY2001 and is estimated to be \$2.5 million for FY2002 (this does not include the \$73 million emergency supplemental appropriation for border security projects that will continue in FY2003). The request for FY2003 stands at \$4 million. The major counter-narcotics efforts engaged in by the Pakistan government, many of which receive U.S. or U.N. support, include improved law enforcement; reduction of demand; opium crop destruction and crop substitution; and outreach programs that include supplying roads, irrigation, drinking water, and schools to remote tribal areas.

Terrorism

After the September 2001 attacks on the United States, Pakistan pledged and has provided support for the anti-terror coalition effort. According to the U.S. State Department and Department of Defense, Pakistan has afforded the United States unprecedented levels of cooperation by allowing the U.S. military to use bases within the country, helping to identify and detain extremists, and tightening the border between Pakistan and Afghanistan.

In a landmark, nationally-televised speech in January 2002, Musharraf vowed to end Pakistan's use as a base for terrorism of any kind, criticized religious extremism and intolerance in the country, set new rules to govern religious schools that have been viewed as hothouses for Islamic militancy, and banned numerous militant groups, including Lashkar-e-Taiba and Jaish-e-Muhammad, both blamed for terrorist violence in Kashmir and India. The Islamabad government also instituted sweeping police reforms, upgraded its immigration

control system, and began work on new anti-terrorist finance laws. In the wake of the speech, thousands of extremists were arrested and detained, though many if not most of these have since been released.

In February 2002, Wall Street Journal reporter Daniel Pearl was kidnaped in Karachi and was later found murdered. In May, a bomb blast in Karachi killed 14 people, including 11 French military technicians. One month later, a car bomb detonated outside the U.S. consulate in Karachi, killing 12 Pakistani nationals. These attacks are widely viewed as expressions of militants' anger with the Musharraf regime for its cooperation with U.S. anti-terror operations, and have raised fears that terrorist groups would further complicate the law and order situation within the country. Both incidents were linked to Al Qaeda, as well as to indigenous militant groups. In September 2002, Pakistani authorities announced a series of high-profile arrests of those deemed responsible for the car bombings, and they claim to have "broken the back" of the Al Qaeda network in Pakistan. Yet press reports indicate that Al Qaeda and Taliban fugitives still are numerous in Pakistan and may be attempting to re-establish their organizations in Pakistani cities such as Karachi. Alleged Al Qaeda leader Osama bin Laden may himself be in Pakistan.

For the first time since independence, Pakistani army troops have been operating in tribal areas of the country's mountainous western border regions. These units have made raids on suspected Al Qaeda and Taliban hideouts in an area that is ethnically Pashtun and where the people can be sympathetic toward militants and hostile toward Westerners and anyone seen to be cooperating with them. Small teams of U.S. special operations soldiers are reported to be assisting the Pakistani regulars on these missions. An undisclosed number of U.S. law enforcement agents are assisting Pakistani security forces in the country's urban areas (see CRS Report RL31624, *Pakistan-U.S. Anti-Terrorism Cooperation*, by Alan Kronstadt).

Islamabad has been under continuous pressure from the United States and numerous other governments to terminate the infiltration of insurgents from Pakistani Kashmir into Indian Kashmir. Such pressure elicited an explicit promise from President Musharraf to U.S. Deputy Secretary of State Armitage that all such movements would cease. After confirmations from both U.S. and Indian government officials that infiltration was down significantly in June and July of 2002, reports are indicating that the number of militants crossing into Indian-controlled Kashmir is again on the rise, and in December 2002 the U.S. envoy to New Delhi indicated that the problem in Kashmir is "cross-border terrorism" that is "almost entirely externally driven." President Musharraf adamantly insists that his government is doing everything possible to stop such movements. Critics contend, however, that Islamabad has renewed implicit, if not active, support for the insurgents in Kashmir as a means of both maintaining strategically the domestic support of Islamists who view the Kashmir issue as fundamental to the Pakistani national idea, as well as to disrupt tactically the state government in Kashmir and so seek to erode New Delhi's legitimacy.