

# CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

## **China-U.S. Relations: Current Issues for the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress**

**Updated September 15, 2003**

Kerry Dumbaugh  
Specialist in Asian Affairs  
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

# China-U.S. Relations: Current Issues for the 108th Congress

## Summary

In the wake of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks against the United States, U.S. and People's Republic of China (PRC) foreign policy calculations appeared to change. The Administration of George W. Bush assumed office in January 2001 viewing China as a U.S. "strategic competitor." Administration officials faced an early test in April 2001 when a Chinese naval aviation jet collided with a U.S. Navy reconnaissance plane over the South China Sea. After September 11, though, U.S. officials came to see Beijing as a potentially helpful ally in the fight against global terrorism, while PRC officials saw the anti-terrorism campaign as a chance to improve relations with Washington and perhaps gain policy concessions on issues important to Beijing, such as on U.S. arms sales to Taiwan. U.S. anti-terror priorities led some to suggest that cooperation against terrorism could serve as a new strategic framework for Sino-U.S. relations.

Many, however, saw complexities and pitfalls on this road to cooperation. For one thing, the PRC's definitions of what constitutes terrorism are significantly more expansive than those of the United States. PRC definitions of dangerous or "terrorist" groups include Tibetans, Uighur Muslims, and Taiwanese who even peacefully express a wish for independence. PRC officials often lump these groups in with those who resort to violence. Since the United States from the outset maintained that the anti-terror campaign must not be used to persecute these groups, Sino-U.S. cooperation already faced early limits. Also, U.S. dominance of the anti-terrorism effort made Washington suddenly appear to be a more threatening competitor for influence in Central Asia, where Beijing had been making successful political inroads in recent years, and in Pakistan, with which Beijing has had traditionally close relations.

In addition, bilateral sensitivities remained over long-standing issues such as Taiwan's status, with U.S. officials increasingly supportive of Taiwan's security and its quest for international recognition, and PRC officials adamant about reunifying Taiwan under the "one China" policy. The PRC remained suspicious about the accidental NATO bombing of the Chinese Embassy in Belgrade in 1999, concerned about what they see as an "encircling" U.S. presence in Asia, and wary of U.S. technological advantages and global influence. And the PRC's early mishandling of a new health crisis, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) that first appeared in southern China created a new test for bilateral relations as well as an enormous challenge for China's new government leaders, chosen in mid-March as the SARS crisis was well underway.

The purpose of this report is to provide background for and summarize current developments in U.S.-PRC relations, including current and pending congressional actions involving the PRC. This report will be updated regularly as new developments occur.

## Contents

Recent Developments .....	1
Background and Overview .....	1
Introduction .....	1
Factors Contributing to Improved U.S.-China Relations .....	2
Changed U.S. Policy .....	3
Anti-Terrorism and Changing Global Priorities .....	3
Constraints on PRC Policy .....	4
New Demands on the U.S. Congress .....	5
Factors That Could Increase Bilateral Tensions .....	5
Key Current Issues in U.S.-China Relations .....	6
SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) .....	6
Taiwan .....	8
Current U.S. Policy and U.S. Arms Sales .....	9
Taiwan and the World Health Organization (WHO) .....	10
Implications of Political Developments in Taiwan .....	11
The Referendum Issue .....	12
Taiwan-PRC Contacts .....	12
Human Rights .....	13
Religious Freedom .....	13
Separatists .....	13
Family Planning/Coercive Abortion .....	14
Labor Unrest .....	14
Economic Issues .....	15
Currency Valuation .....	15
Banking .....	15
National Security Issues .....	16
North Korea .....	16
Weapons Proliferation .....	17
Military Contacts .....	17
Tibet .....	17
Hong Kong and “Article 23” .....	18
U.S. Policy Trends .....	20
Engagement .....	20
Caution .....	20
Threat .....	21
Major Legislation .....	21
Chronology .....	22
For Additional Reading .....	25
CRS Issue Briefs and Reports .....	25
Appendix I .....	26
Selected Visits by U.S. and PRC Officials .....	26

Appendix II .....	28
Selected U.S. Government Reporting Requirements .....	28

# China-U.S. Relations: Current Issues for the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress

## Recent Developments

**September 9, 2003** — The Dalai Lama visited the United States and Washington D.C., giving an address at the Washington National Cathedral and meeting with President Bush (September 9) and Secretary Powell (September 11).

**September 5, 2003** — The Hong Kong government announced it was withdrawing the “Article 23” internal-security proposals until there was broader public consensus for the measure. The withdrawal now means it is unlikely that a comparable measure will be considered within the next year.

**July 28, 2003** — U.S. Under Secretary of State for International Security and Arms Control John Bolton began a second round of meetings in Beijing to discuss global security issues, including North Korea’s nuclear weapons program and Iran.

**July 16, 2003** — Hong Kong’s Chief Executive, Mr. C. H. Tung, announced the resignations of his two least popular cabinet members, including Regina Ip, Secretary for Security and chief supporter of the “Article 23” measure.

**July 1, 2003** — Massive public demonstrations were held in Hong Kong to protest the government’s proposed “anti-sedition” laws, known as the “Article 23” proposals.

## Background and Overview

### Introduction

For much of the past decade, a number of factors combined to assure that U.S. congressional interest in the People’s Republic of China (PRC) increased year by year. As an institution, Congress in the early years after the Tiananmen Square crackdown often felt that it was neither consulted nor listened to by the Executive Branch on the appropriate direction for U.S. China policy. Throughout the 1990’s, without the overriding strategic imperative that the Soviet Union had once provided for comprehensive U.S.-China relations, individual Members of Congress began to push for their own more parochial concerns with respect to China policy, such as efforts on behalf of Taiwan, in favor of human rights, or against forced sterilization and abortion. In the later years of the Clinton Administration, when U.S. officials were pursuing a “strategic partnership” with China, some Members of Congress became increasingly concerned that the U.S. government was not thinking seriously

enough about the PRC as a longer-term threat to U.S. interests, given the PRC's missile build-up opposite Taiwan and Beijing's increasingly strident nationalism. Congress' legislative activity on issues involving China in these years included enactment of provisions to increasingly accommodate Taiwan's interests, repeated and protracted efforts to further condition or even withdraw the PRC's most-favored-nation (MFN) status, recurring hearings (and resulting legislation) targeting the PRC's human rights violations, creation of two congressionally related Commissions to monitor PRC activities, and a host of requirements on the U.S. government to monitor, report on, and restrict certain PRC activities, among other measures.<sup>1</sup>

Since 2001, however, Congress as a whole has become less vocal and less legislatively active on issues involving China. A key question for American policymakers and foreign policy observers is whether or not this brief trend indicates that the rocky U.S.-China relationship may have finally turned a corner and is now facing a period of stability and cooperation for the foreseeable future. This paper will discuss and analyze factors contributing to the current reduction of U.S.-China tensions and will discuss potential policy developments that could once again highlight underlying complications in the U.S.-China relationship. The paper will also discuss and analyze the policy implications of ongoing and new developments, both domestically and in the broader foreign policy environment, that could affect U.S.-China relations. Finally, this paper will discuss key legislation in the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress and will provide a running chronology of developments since January 2003.

This paper will be updated regularly as further developments occur. For a thorough discussion of U.S.-China relations during the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress (2001-2002), see CRS Report RL31729, *China-U.S. Relations in the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress: Policy Developments, 2001-2002*, dated January 23, 2003. For further information on specific issues in this report, see the CRS reports referenced in the footnotes.

## **Factors Contributing to Improved U.S.-China Relations**

U.S. relations with the People's Republic of China (PRC) have gained unusual stability since January 2001. The reasons for this cannot be attributed to any resolution of entrenched bilateral policy differences — such as those long held over human rights or on Taiwan's status — for these differences still exist and are likely to plague the relationship for the foreseeable future. Rather, a number of other factors and policy trends in the past two years have combined to make U.S.-PRC relations arguably the smoothest they have been since the aftermath of the Tiananmen Square crackdown in 1989. These trends and factors include:

- ! a more assertive approach toward China by the current Bush Administration than that followed by previous U.S. Administrations
- ! dramatic changes in global and in national priorities brought about by the anti-terrorism and anti-Iraq campaigns

---

<sup>1</sup> In the United States, the term “most-favored-nation” (MFN) status has been replaced by the term “normal trading relations” (NTR) status.

- ! new demands on the U.S. Congress' agenda that have currently taken precedence over ongoing concerns about the PRC
- ! the PRC's own preoccupation with its ongoing leadership transition and increasing domestic social and economic problems

**Changed U.S. Policy.** The George W. Bush Administration came to office in January 2001 promising a tougher approach toward the PRC than that of any of its predecessors. Seeking to distance themselves from the policies of "engagement" with China favored by American Presidents since 1979, Bush Administration officials promised to broaden the focus of American policy in Asia, concentrate more on Japan and other U.S. allies, de-emphasize the importance of Sino-U.S. relations in American foreign policy, and look more favorably on issues affecting Taiwan's status and security. Even while appearing less solicitous of Beijing's views, Administration officials have remained open to substantively and symbolically meaningful dialogue with China at the senior-most levels. President Bush, for example, met more often with his PRC counterpart during his first two years in office than other U.S. Presidents did in their entire Administrations. This twin approach continues to characterize official Bush Administration policy toward the PRC today.<sup>2</sup> Some observers believe that this new approach has helped reduce Beijing's leverage over the U.S. policy process, forcing onto the PRC the greater burden in seeking productive U.S.-China relations.

**Anti-Terrorism and Changing Global Priorities.** The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks against the United States, the subsequent campaign to dis-arm Iraq, and renewed hostility from North Korea have changed the international priorities of the United States and much of the world. A number of U.S. international relationships have been affected accordingly, including relations with the PRC and with countries important to PRC interests, such as Pakistan. The United States, for instance, has now established cooperation with and a military presence in Central Asian countries, with whom the PRC had formed the Shanghai Cooperation Organization in the 1990's. U.S. officials quickly saw the war against terrorism as the nation's principle priority, and one in which the PRC, perhaps, could be helpful. U.S. officials, for instance, welcomed what support the PRC could give toward anti-terrorism initiatives, particularly in measures put before the United Nations Security Council, where the PRC is a permanent member and has veto power. But the White House also has shown itself willing to take unilateral U.S. action and, early on signaled that only limited Sino-U.S. cooperation would be possible. Thus, it is not clear yet to what extent U.S. anti-terrorism goals may have affected the Administration's PRC policy other than to reinforce the lower priority it had already assigned to U.S.-China relations.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Administration faced an early test of its policies on April 1, 2001, when a Chinese jet-fighter collided with a U.S. Navy EP-3 reconnaissance plane over the South China Sea, forcing the U.S. plane to make an emergency landing at a military base on China's Hainan island. Several CRS reports provide details of this crisis. See, for instance, CRS Report RL31729, *China-U.S. Relations in the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress: Policy Developments, 2001-2002*, by Kerry Dumbaugh.

On the heels of the anti-terror campaign, the U.S. government's current preoccupation with Iraq has led to greater pressure on the United Nations, in which the PRC has veto power as a permanent member of the Security Council. PRC cooperation, or at least acquiescence, in anti-Iraq initiatives thus has become another U.S. objective. The Bush Administration's commitments in Iraq have also led to the beginning of apparent fractures in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) alliance, whose EU member countries the PRC has assiduously courted in recent years. Finally, North Korea's renewal of its nuclear program has created a crisis on the Korean peninsula which Administration officials believe enhances the need for PRC cooperation on initiatives involving the North. These new tensions in and possible re-shuffling of international relationships have created a fluid and complex international atmosphere. Although the implications for future U.S.-China relations remain uncertain, some observers have suggested that the uncertainty itself has favored more stable U.S.-China relations by ensuring a degree of caution and non-provocation in how bilateral policies are crafted.

**Constraints on PRC Policy.** Some believe that yet another factor in smoother U.S.-China relations is the PRC's current preoccupation with its own domestic problems and agenda. Internal social stability in the PRC has become more problematic, including greater labor unrest, growing unemployment, and more assertive public disaffection with official corruption. Also, the PRC has been undergoing a significant leadership transition.<sup>3</sup> At its 16<sup>th</sup> Party Congress (November 8-14, 2002), the PRC's Communist Party selected a new Party General Secretary (Hu Jintao), named a new 24-member Politburo and a new nine-member Standing Committee, and made substantive changes to the Party Constitution. Further important changes in government and cabinet-level positions were made during the 10<sup>th</sup> meeting of the National People's Congress in March 2003.

Both the anti-terrorism campaign and the Iraq initiatives also appear to have affected the PRC's view of U.S.-China relations. In at least the early months of the campaign, PRC leaders seemed to see anti-terrorism initiatives as an opportunity for closer cooperation with the United States and a way to improve U.S.-China relations.<sup>4</sup> But over time, Chinese leaders appeared increasingly wary at the degree to which the United States was enhancing its military presence in the region — in particular, the swiftness with which the United States was succeeding in winning overflight rights and basing agreements from countries geographically and strategically important to the PRC, such as Pakistan and those in Central Asia. In addition, the PRC government has found the U.S. anti-terror campaign a convenience in cracking down on its own dissident Muslims in the Xinjiang-Uighur Autonomous Region.

---

<sup>3</sup> For more on the 16<sup>th</sup> Party Congress decisions, see CRS Report RL31661, *China's New Leadership Line-up: Implications for U.S. Policy*, by Kerry Dumbaugh.

<sup>4</sup> In the initial days after the September 11 terrorist attacks, PRC President Jiang Zemin offered condolences, promised "unconditional support" in fighting terrorism, and, on September 25, sent a group of PRC counter-terrorism experts for consultations in Washington. In a U.N. Security Council meeting on September 12, the PRC voted in favor of both Resolution 1368, to combat terrorism, and Resolution 1441, on Iraqi compliance.



**New Demands on the U.S. Congress.** For the reasons cited above and more, the U.S. congressional agenda in the last two years has shifted and changed in several ways. For one thing, the September 11 attacks themselves dramatically pre-empted a serious congressional debate that had been going on for a decade over whether the PRC represented the next serious threat to U.S. security. Members of Congress since the September 11 attacks have been pre-occupied instead with a host of initiatives relating to the war on terrorism, including reorganization of the U.S. Government to create a Department of Homeland Security, U.S. troop deployments and mobilization first in the Afghan campaign and then in preparation for a war against Iraq, and the potential implications of a nuclear North Korea, to name a few. These matters have left little room in the congressional agenda for unrelated policy issues, however important or deeply held. Also, with the disappearance of the annual rancorous congressional debate over renewing the PRC's normal trade relations (NTR) status, Congress now lacks a legislative vehicle for regularly re-examining the totality of U.S. policy toward China.<sup>5</sup>

Moreover, the more assertive White House approach toward the PRC has seized the moral high ground, and therefore the initiative, in what previously had been a heated congressional policy debate over the direction of U.S. China policy. The Administration's unprecedented willingness to take dramatic steps to assure Taiwan's security and support Taiwan's interests has satisfied the sizeable segment in Congress that has long championed stronger U.S. relations with Taiwan. At the same time, the Administration has resumed regular U.S.-China summitry and cultivated a cooperative diplomatic and investment climate with China, satisfying the American business community and those Members of Congress most supportive of that community's interests. Finally, the Administration's more aggressive overall foreign policy and its willingness to redefine traditional American security concepts has appealed to more hawkish Members of Congress who increasingly have viewed China as a rising threat to U.S. regional and global interests. As long as these trends continue, China is likely to remain secondary to U.S. policy interests, and congressional activity is likely to be correspondingly muted.

## **Factors That Could Increase Bilateral Tensions**

Despite the lower profile the U.S. policy process is now giving to issues involving the PRC, too many variables remain to be certain of whether this represents a longer term trend toward a new relationship or is simply the function of a series of temporary distractions in U.S.-China policy. A strong argument can be made that the PRC's rapidly growing economy, increasing international assertiveness, and ongoing military modernization will assure that at some point China will reemerge as an important focus of interest for U.S. policymakers. An examination of measures enacted during 2001-2002, for instance, reveals that despite other legislative preoccupations and declining legislative activity on the PRC, Members of the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress continued to follow matters involving Taiwan's security and international

---

<sup>5</sup> Some have suggested that regular annual reports from the two U.S. China Commissions and other entities could serve as catalysts for debate on the PRC.

standing; Tibet; and U.S. national security interests vis-a-vis the PRC, even absent the overt tensions the relationship saw throughout the 1990s.<sup>6</sup>

Any number of circumstances and events could re-energize tensions in U.S.-China relations and once again alter the bilateral landscape. As has happened in the past, the PRC's own muted approach to the United States could change quickly into a more belligerent one — perhaps once the PRC's leadership transition is complete or as a tactic to create national unity and deflect rising public dissatisfaction with the government. There is always the prospect of renewed and heated U.S.-PRC confrontation over Taiwan's status. The dynamics of U.S.-China relations also could change if events led Beijing to conclude that the United States had lost significant economic, military, and/or political power in the world, leading Chinese leaders to seek to exploit any perceived U.S. weaknesses and other vulnerabilities for their own national advantage. Such events could include a protracted conflict or uncertain outcome in Iraq, a partial collapse or realignment in the NATO alliance, a demand by South Korea that U.S. troop strength be cut, an act of North Korean aggression, or a serious U.S. economic decline.

Despite the relative stability in current U.S.-China relations, major developments continue to occur daily on issues that traditionally have had an impact on the overall relationship. Monitoring and assessing these developments (and how they are handled by Washington and Beijing) could offer foreign policy observers important clues about trends in U.S.-China relations over the intermediate and longer term.

## **Key Current Issues in U.S.-China Relations**

### **SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome)**

The outbreak in China of a new illness, SARS, represented a serious and immediate test for new PRC government officials named at the March 2003 meeting of the National People's Congress.<sup>7</sup> During the first four months of 2003, public pressure at home and abroad forced the government's reaction to the SARS illness to move classically secretive and non-communicative to significantly more open. This beginning of transformation in official PRC reaction to a national crisis shows that new PRC leaders are under significantly greater pressure, from the international community and from their own citizens, to be more transparent and responsive to the public than in the past. It remains to be seen whether these changes will become

---

<sup>6</sup> The 107<sup>th</sup> Congress, for example, took action to seek observer status for Taiwan in the World Health Organization (WHO) (P.L. 107-10 and P.L. 107-158); to restrict international lending to projects in Tibet and to enhance U.S. contacts with and involvement in issues relating to Tibet (P.L. 107-228); and to strengthen U.S. monitoring of science and technology exchanges with the PRC (P.L. 107-314). For further details on legislation enacted by the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress, see CRS Report RL31729, *China-U.S. Relations in the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress: Policy Developments, 2001-2002*.

<sup>7</sup> The new virus that causes SARS was identified only in April 2003.

permanent features of the way the PRC government does business or were simply tactical responses to the early 2003 SARS outbreak.

In November and December 2002, China's Guangdong Province began to see cases involving a mysterious and contagious flu-like virus that PRC medical officials referred to as "atypical pneumonia." Provincial officials took emergency measures and the PRC government sent medical teams to Guangdong to investigate the outbreak. Still, for months, official Chinese sources downplayed the seriousness and extent of the mysterious illness. The Guangdong Provincial Health Bureau made the first official PRC announcement about the new illness on February 11, 2003, reporting that 5 had died and more than 300 had become sick. On February 12, 2003, the official Xinhua News Agency announced that the mysterious illness had been "brought under control" and no new cases had been reported in China. This remained the official story from the Chinese government through mid-March 2003, even as the World Health Organization (WHO) issued a global alert on March 12, 2003, following new outbreaks of an "atypical pneumonia" in Vietnam and Hong Kong.

Official PRC reluctance to be forthcoming continued throughout March. On March 15, 2003, WHO issued a rare "emergency travel advisory," for the first time referring to the illness as SARS and saying that its further spread to Canada, Singapore, and Europe now made it a "global health threat." According to WHO officials, it was only at this point that the Chinese government began providing WHO with information about the February atypical pneumonia outbreak in Guangdong, although WHO reported that the PRC still declined to provide biological samples, test results, or even details about courses of treatment. On March 18, 2003, PRC officials admitted that the SARS outbreak was continuing in Guangdong, but insisted it had not expanded elsewhere in China. This was contradicted by reports from Chinese doctors that two people in Beijing had died from the disease earlier in the month.

With SARS cases continuing to multiply and expand to other countries, including the United States, the PRC began to react to growing criticism over its handling of the SARS crisis in April 2003. WHO investigators were permitted to go to Guangdong on April 2. On April 4, the head of the PRC's Center for Disease Control issued an unprecedented public apology for the government's mis-handling of the health crisis. Greater impetus for fuller disclosure appeared to come from within China's medical community itself. On April 9, a prominent Beijing surgeon publicly disclosed that the government was seriously under-reporting cases of SARS in Beijing, and that the number was far more than the 22 cases the government indicated.<sup>8</sup> WHO officials also bluntly told PRC officials on April 17 that the SARS figures Beijing was reporting were unreliable.

On April 18, China's new Premier, Wen Jiabao, threatened dire consequences for any government official that did not make full and timely disclosure about SARS cases. The real official turnaround in the crisis came on April 20, when PRC leaders

---

<sup>8</sup> Jiang Yanyong, former chief of surgery for the #301 military hospital in Beijing and a Communist Party member, made the disclosure in an e-mail to a state-run television station. The *Wall St. Journal* reported the disclosure on April 9, 2003.

fired two senior officials for covering up the extent of the crisis — the first in a series of such firings. PRC leaders also announced that a national week-long May holiday would be reduced to one day to deter travel. Officials also held a nationally televised press conference to announce that 339 cases of SARS had been confirmed and another 402 were suspected in Beijing alone, not 37 confirmed cases as previously reported.<sup>9</sup> By April 27, 2003 — ten weeks after the initial announcement that a mysterious pneumonia outbreak affecting a few hundred people in Guangdong had been brought under control — SARS outbreaks had been reported in 26 of the PRC's 31 provinces, the number of confirmed cases in Beijing alone had passed 1,100, and the central government had placed more than 15,000 people in Beijing under quarantine. Further, the government cancelled the week-long May 1<sup>st</sup> holiday to discourage widespread travel in China, and ordered the emergency closure of movie theaters, discos, churches, and other public places in Beijing.<sup>10</sup> Outside the capital, villages and towns with no recorded SARS cases put up roadblocks to isolate themselves from potentially infected travelers. Many citizens of Beijing refused to venture outside their homes, often wearing protective face masks when they did so.

As a consequence of the outbreak, the U.S. Government issued several travel warnings encouraging Americans to defer non-essential travel to the PRC, and the Department of State on April 1, 2003, authorized the departure of non-essential personnel and family members from the U.S. Consulate General in Guangdong and Hong Kong, and similarly on April 3, 2003 from the U.S. Embassy in Beijing and from U.S. Consulates in Chengdu, Shenyang, and Shanghai. By July 2003, the global transmission of SARS had virtually disappeared. On July 15, 2003, the U.S. CDC discontinued the distribution of its Health Alert Notices and lifted the last of its travel advisories, reflecting that no new cases of SARS had appeared in more than 30 days.<sup>11</sup> Nevertheless, the international medical community has warned that SARS may duplicate the pattern of other respiratory diseases and may recur seasonally, like the flu.

## Taiwan

Taiwan remains the most sensitive and complex issue in Sino-U.S. relations. Beijing maintains it has the option to use force should Taiwan declare independence from China, and PRC officials repeatedly block Taiwan's efforts to gain greater international recognition. At the same time, officials in Taiwan are maneuvering for more international stature and for independent access to multilateral institutions. Since the 1970s, when the United States broke relations with Taiwan in order to normalize relations with Beijing, U.S. policy toward Taiwan has been shaped by the

---

<sup>9</sup> The two officials were party secretary of the Ministry of Health, Zhang Wenkang, and deputy party secretary of Beijing, Meng Xuenong.

<sup>10</sup> Quarantine figures cited in Pomfret, John, "Beijing to Allow WHO to Send Team to Taiwan," *Washington Post*, May 4, 2003, p. A25. Beijing did not reopen movie theaters in the city until June 10, 2003.

<sup>11</sup> In July 2003, the CDC lifted a series of travel advisories: on July 3, to mainland China other than Beijing; on July 8, to Toronto; July 9, to Hong Kong; on July 11, to Beijing; and on July 15, to Taiwan.

three U.S.-China communiqués, the Taiwan Relations Act (P.L. 96-8), and the so-called “Six Assurances.”<sup>12</sup>

**Current U.S. Policy and U.S. Arms Sales.** To a notable extent, the George W. Bush Administration has eschewed the long-standing U.S. policy of “strategic ambiguity” on Taiwan in favor of policy clarity that has placed more emphasis on Taiwan’s interests and less on PRC concerns. On April 25, 2001, for instance, in an ABC television interview, President Bush responded to a question about the possible U.S. response if Taiwan were attacked by saying that the United States would do “whatever it took” to help Taiwan defend itself. Since the United States has no defense alliance with Taiwan and has never pledged use of American military forces in the island’s defense, the President’s answer caused considerable controversy over whether the United States had changed its policy toward Taiwan’s security or was moving away from its “one-China” statements. Although State Department and White House officials continue to maintain that there has been no change in U.S. policy toward Taiwan and that U.S. policy is consistent with U.S. commitments in the Taiwan Relations Act, subsequent statements and actions by Bush Administration officials have been judged to be more solicitous and supportive of Taiwan than those of previous U.S. Administrations.<sup>13</sup> In part, this reflects Administration assessments that the potential for military conflict over Taiwan is high. In a report submitted to Congress late in 2001, for instance, the Pentagon identified military conflict with China over Taiwan as one of the “immediate contingencies” for which the United States should size its nuclear strike capabilities.<sup>14</sup> In other aspects of its more supportive Taiwan policy, the Bush Administration has undertaken the following steps:

- ! Approved more robust arms sales to Taiwan, including Kidd-class destroyers, diesel submarines, AIM sidewinder air-to-air missiles, and P-3C Orion aircraft.<sup>15</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> In addition, other U.S. statements sometimes have been interpreted as changes in nuance in U.S. policy. For example, during his summit visit to China in June 1998, President Clinton made a controversial statement that some interpreted as a change in U.S. policy, resulting in resolutions in the 105<sup>th</sup> Congress (H.Con.Res. 301 and S.Con.Res. 107) reaffirming U.S. policy toward Taiwan. For details on evolving U.S. policy toward Taiwan, see CRS Issue Brief IB98034, *Taiwan: Recent Developments and U.S. Policy Choices*, by Kerry Dumbaugh.

<sup>13</sup> On February 16, 2003, for instance, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian Affairs, Randall Schriver, told a Taiwan-U.S. defense industry conference in Texas that “Our policy [toward Taiwan] has been consistent for more than 20 years...It has not changed. It will not change.” Cited in English in *Asia Pulse*, Feb. 17, 2003.

<sup>14</sup> Excerpt from the “Nuclear Posture Review,” submitted to Congress on December 31, 2001. For excerpts, see [<http://www.globalsecurity.org/wmd/library/policy/dod/npr.htm>].

<sup>15</sup> See CRS Report RL30957, *Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990*, by Shirley Kan.

- ! Enhanced military-to-military contacts, including meetings between higher-level officers; cooperation on command, control, and communications; and training assistance.<sup>16</sup>
- ! Approved transit visas for top Taiwan officials to come to the United States, including Taiwan's President and Vice-President.

**Taiwan and the World Health Organization (WHO).** WHO's global involvement in investigating and helping to combat the new SARS virus has focused new attention on the fact that Taiwan, where there also have been SARS cases, is not a member of WHO.<sup>17</sup> For a number of years, Taiwan has sought observer status in U.N.-affiliated organizations, primarily in WHO, as part of its effort to expand its international space and recognition. The PRC routinely has blocked Taiwan's bids on political grounds, arguing that since Taiwan is not a state, but a part of China, it cannot be separately admitted to U.N. entities for which sovereign status is a pre-requisite for membership. According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), a U.S. CDC team was sent to Taiwan to investigate the SARS outbreak, and that team remained in touch with WHO officials during the crisis.

Taiwan authorities have argued that it is inhumane for the international community to deny the people of Taiwan access to WHO's substantial medical data and assistance in the event of an outbreak of disease, as in the current SARS outbreak, or as in June 2002, when a Taiwan city suffered a major outbreak of dengue hemorrhagic fever. Taiwan authorities maintain that "observer status" in WHO would be an apolitical solution in Taiwan's case, since other non-sovereign entities, like the Holy See and the Palestine Liberation Organization, have been given such status in WHO. The U.S. Government is on record as supporting Taiwan's membership in organizations "where state-hood is not an issue."<sup>18</sup> In the past, some Members of Congress have had problems with what they view as the out-dated nature of this U.S. support.

In 2001, 2002, and 2003 — the fifth, sixth, and seventh years in a row — Taiwan again applied for WHO observer status.<sup>19</sup> The 107<sup>th</sup> Congress sought to energize U.S. support for this effort by approving P.L. 107-10, authorizing the Secretary of State to seek Taiwan's observer status in the WHO at the organization's annual meeting, known as the World Health Assembly, in May 2001, and again at the

---

<sup>16</sup> At a March 2002 meeting of the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council in Florida, Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz reportedly stated that helping Taiwan more successfully integrate its military forces was as important a U.S. priority as selling weapons.

<sup>17</sup> Taiwan recorded its first SARS death on April 27, 2003. In response, the Taiwan government announced it would suspend issuing visas to residents of China, Hong Kong, Singapore, Canada for two weeks, and would quarantine returning Taiwan residents for 10 days.

<sup>18</sup> A State Department spokesman, in response to a press question at the State Department press briefing of March 20, 2002.

<sup>19</sup> Taiwan has been able to join some international organizations for which sovereign status is not a pre-requisite, such as the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum and the International Olympic Committee (IOC).

annual meeting in May 2002 (P.L. 107-158).<sup>20</sup> Likewise, the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress considered and passed similar legislation (P.L. 108-28) in 2003.<sup>21</sup> None of these attempts succeeded, since the PRC was able to prevent the issue from being placed on the agenda in each case.

**Implications of Political Developments in Taiwan.** In recent years, the political environment in Taiwan has been fluid, unpredictable, and intricately linked with issues involving Taiwan's international status and relationship with the PRC. Unexpected and unprecedented victories in presidential and legislative elections by Taiwan's opposition Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) nearly decimated the Nationalist Party (the KMT), for 50 years the dominant — and for much of that time, the only — political party in Taiwan. As a result, the balance of power in Taiwan since 2000 has teetered precipitously between contending political parties and views. On one side is President Chen Shui-bian's DPP and its ally, the smaller Taiwan Solidarity Union (TSU), two pro-independence parties that Beijing finds highly objectionable. On the other side is a tenuous political coalition cobbled together from the remnants of the KMT — the remaining KMT and the People First Party (PFP), both of which at least theoretically support the principle of eventual reunification with the PRC. Despite the DPP's political victories in the presidential election of 2000 and in legislative elections in 2001, the loose KMT/PFP alliance still has been able to wield substantial influence over Taiwan's political agenda throughout 2002 and 2003, including control over an effective majority voting bloc in the legislature.<sup>22</sup>

Political rivalries and uncertainties in Taiwan are likely to increase over the coming year in the lead-up to the next presidential election scheduled for March 20, 2004, and the subsequent legislative elections expected late in 2004. Reelection of President Chen would send a signal to the PRC that support for Taiwan independence is entrenched and perhaps growing. But early in 2003, the KMT and PFP announced that they had agreed to field a single presidential/vice-presidential ticket to run next year against President Chen, who many feel was able to win in 2000 with a plurality — not a majority — because his opposition was divided. Should the combined KMT/PFP ticket hang together for the 2004 elections (an uncertainty at this point), it could prove a significant challenge for President Chen and the DPP. A KMT/PFP victory in 2004 likely would mean that the Taiwan government would be more receptive to closer Taiwan-PRC economic, cultural, and social ties, perhaps with longer-term political implications. Either election outcome in 2004 will have its own implications for U.S. policy and for U.S.-China relations.

---

<sup>20</sup> On March 14, 2002, the European Union also adopted a non-binding resolution calling on the WHO to accept observer status for Taiwan. Doc.:B5-0132/2002, B5-0138/2002, B5-0147/2002, B5-0150/2002.

<sup>21</sup> Legislation in 2003, H.R. 441/ S. 243, was enacted on May 29, 2003. Ten days earlier, on May 19, 2003, the World Health Assembly decided not to consider a motion relating to Taiwan during its annual meeting in Geneva.

<sup>22</sup> For background and details, see CRS Report RS21093, *Taiwan's December 2001 Election Results*, by Kerry Dumbaugh.

**The Referendum Issue.** Another long-simmering political issue in Taiwan that has gained new attention in 2003 is the possibility of holding a public referendum on any of a series of issues. Beijing has long opposed any public referendum in Taiwan because of the prospects that such votes could be held on the question of Taiwan's independence from the PRC. In 2003, the issue of a public referendum has been given new momentum by supportive comments from Taiwan's President, Chen Shui-bian, who has publicly pushed for a referendum to be held. On July 15, 2003, for instance, President Chen was quoted as saying that Taiwan's first referendum will be more significant than a presidential election. On July 21, 2003, premier Yu Shyi-kun announced that the Cabinet had formed a special task force to draft guidelines for holding referenda. If a referendum is held, it is widely expected that it will include the issues of reform of the Legislature, the building of a controversial fourth nuclear power plant, and — most controversial — whether Taiwan should be admitted to the WHO. According to senior DPP officials, the preference would be to hold a referendum simultaneously with the presidential elections on March 20, 2004. (See CRS Issue Brief IB98034, *Taiwan: Recent Developments and U.S. Policy Choices*, pp. 8-9.)

**Taiwan-PRC Contacts.** Official talks between China and Taiwan, always problematic, last occurred in October 1998, when Koo Chen-fu, Chairman of Taiwan's Straits Exchange Foundation (SEF) and Wang Daohan, president of China's Association for Relations Across the Taiwan Straits (ARATS), held meetings in Shanghai. But while official talks have remained stymied, unofficial cross-strait contacts have continued to grow. Even with the official restrictions that the government maintains on investing in and trading with mainland China, Taiwan businesses are increasingly invested across the strait, although the exact figures remain unclear. Taiwan-China trade has also increased dramatically over the past decade. According to one estimate, Taiwan's total bilateral trade with the PRC rose to \$39.7 billion in 2002.<sup>23</sup>

Taiwan's increasing economic interconnectedness with the PRC has put special pressure on the DPP government to further accommodate the Taiwan business community by easing restrictions on direct travel and investment to the PRC. Early in January 2001, for instance, President Chen announced that he would establish direct links between China and Taiwan's outlying islands of Matsu and Quemoy — the so-called "mini-links" — a small but significant step in the direction of further contacts. Late in 2002, Taiwan's Mainland Affairs Council (MAC), a cabinet-level office to oversee Taiwan's relations with the PRC, completed a study to assess the technical features and costs of expanded cross-strait sea and air links. Taiwan politicians throughout much of 2002 debated and eventually approved a proposal to allow Taiwan charter flights to fly, for the first time, to and from the PRC by way of Hong Kong and Macau for the Chinese New Year. In addition, PRC leaders made their own overtures, calling on Taiwan to return to the negotiating table and holding out the possibility for postponing "certain political disputes" in order to resume

---

<sup>23</sup> See CRS Report RS20683, *Taiwan and the World Trade Organization*, by Wayne Morrison, p. 4; and CRS Report RL31749, *Foreign Direct Investment in China*, by Dick Nanto and Radha Sinha.



talks.<sup>24</sup> But such accommodations are worrisome to the DPP's pro-independence political base in Taiwan, who believe that further economic ties to the mainland will erode Taiwan's autonomy and lead to a "hollowing out" of Taiwan's industrial base.<sup>25</sup> Thus, each decision that President Chen makes on Taiwan's economic links with the PRC represents an uneasy compromise between the concerns of his own political base and the requirements of improving Taiwan's international economic competitiveness.

## Human Rights

Since 2001, the George W. Bush Administration has shifted away from the broad and generalized approach U.S. Administrations traditionally have followed on human rights in China. The current Administration approach instead appears to favor more selective, intense pressure on individual cases involving human rights and on rule of law. The PRC government periodically has succumbed to this U.S. pressure and released early from prison political dissidents, usually citing health reasons. Such releases included the December 2002 release of Xu Wenli, co-founder of the China Democracy Party, and the January 2002 release of Ngawang Choephel, a Tibetan scholar. Critics of China's human rights policies claim that such gestures are infrequent and overshadowed by other human rights troubles. The Congressional-Executive Commission on China (CECC), a body created by P.L. 106-286 and comprised of U.S. Government officials and Members of Congress, is developing a "Political Prisoner Database" on prisoners in the PRC. When completed, the registry will be available on the CECC website [<http://www.cecc.gov/>].

**Religious Freedom.** Members of Congress and American policymakers remain particularly concerned about the extent to which the PRC controls and restricts religious practices. The U.S. Department of State, in the China section of its annual *International Religious Freedom Report*, released October 7, 2002, said that China's record on religious freedom remained poor. In 1999, the PRC government outlawed the Falun Gong spiritual movement, maintaining that it presented the greatest danger to the nation that had ever existed in its 50-year history.<sup>26</sup> Since 2000, the PRC government has arrested Falun Gong leaders, imposed harsh prison sentences, outlawed religious sects and cults in China, and created a government "Office for Preventing and Handling Cults." Some observers have expressed fear that the PRC's anti-cult movement may come to include Christian churches and other more mainstream groups in the future.<sup>27</sup>

**Separatists.** For years, the PRC government also has maintained a repressive crackdown against Tibetans and Muslims, particularly against Uighur separatists in

---

<sup>24</sup> Spokesman Zhang Mingqing, on November 28, 2002, quoted in CNN.com. Comments about postponing political disputes were made by PRC President Jiang Zemin during sessions at the 16<sup>th</sup> Party Congress in early November 2002.

<sup>25</sup> For instance, there are reportedly 300,000 Taiwan citizens now living and working in Shanghai.

<sup>26</sup> According to an article in the November 6, 1999 *People's Daily*.

<sup>27</sup> For further details, see CRS Report RS20333, *China and 'Falun Gong'* by Thomas Lum.

the Xinjiang-Uighur Autonomous Region. After September 11, 2001, PRC officials sought to link their efforts against Uighur separatists with the global anti-terrorism campaign. On October 12, 2001, a PRC Foreign Ministry spokesman said, “We hope that our fight against the East Turkestan [Xinjiang] forces will become a part of the international effort against terrorism.” Although U.S. officials warned that the anti-terror campaign should not be used to persecute Uighur separatists or other minorities with political grievances against Beijing, some believe that the U.S. government made a concession to Beijing on August 26, 2002, when it announced that it was placing one small group, the East Turkestan Islamic Movement, on the U.S. list of terrorist groups.<sup>28</sup>

**Family Planning/Coercive Abortion.** Bitter controversies in U.S. family planning assistance have surrounded the PRC’s population programs, which some claim include forced abortions and sterilizations. Direct U.S. funding for coercive family planning practices is prohibited in provisions of several U.S. laws, as is indirect U.S. support for coercive family planning. In addition, legislation in recent years has expanded these restrictions to include U.S. funding for international and multilateral family planning programs, such as the U.N. Population Fund (UNFPA), that have programs in China. In the FY2002 Foreign Operations Appropriations bill (P.L. 107-115), for instance, Congress provided for “not more than” \$34 million for UNFPA. The Bush Administration froze those funds in January 2002, asserting that coercion still existed in Chinese counties where UNFPA had programs. Despite a follow-up finding by a State Department assessment team that UNFPA was not supporting coercion in its family planning programs in China, on July 22, 2002, U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell announced the \$34 million would remain withheld.<sup>29</sup> Because of this determination, UNFPA is receiving no U.S. funding for its family planning programs as of March 2003.

**Labor Unrest.** The wrenching and far-reaching economic reforms that the PRC continues to make has led to rising labor unrest, particularly in northern and interior cities. In 2002, laid-off and unemployed workers estimated to number in the tens of thousands demonstrated to protest job losses, insufficient severance pay, local corruption, and local government decisions to shut-down, sell-off, or privatize unprofitable state-owned factories. Worker unrest is a particularly troubling issue for Beijing, a regime founded on communist-inspired notions of a workers’ paradise. Increasing labor unrest also has placed greater pressure on the authority and credibility of the All-China Federation of Trade Unions (ACFTU), China’s only legal labor organization.<sup>30</sup> Labor unrest and labor conditions in the PRC continue to prompt debates among Members of Congress over competing policy goals. Some Members argue that PRC workers are exploited under economic reforms and that the United States should seek to limit its economic and financial dealings with the PRC until Chinese workers gain full collective bargaining rights. Other Members argue

---

<sup>28</sup> The 107<sup>th</sup> Congress considered a number of human rights resolutions relating to the PRC. For relevant bills, see the “Legislation” section of this report.

<sup>29</sup> For further details, see IB96026, *Population Assistance and U.S. Family Programs: Issues for Congress*, by Larry Nowels.

<sup>30</sup> The ACFTU is controlled by the Communist Party. For background and further details, see CRS Report RL31164, *China: Labor Conditions and Unrest*.

that U.S. investments in the PRC have helped improve workers' lives and incomes and have contributed to greater public pressure for labor and political reforms.

## Economic Issues

The PRC is now the fourth largest U.S. trading partner, with total U.S.-China trade in 2002 pegged at \$147 billion. Ongoing issues in U.S.-China economic relations include the substantial and growing U.S. trade deficit with China (\$102.3 billion in 2002), repeated PRC failures to protect U.S. intellectual property rights (IPR), and the PRC's continuing restrictive trade practices. As a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO), which it formally joined on December 11, 2001, the PRC now is committed to making significant changes in its trade and tariff regimes by eliminating tariff and non-tariff barriers on many goods and services.

With the PRC as a new WTO member, Members of Congress have been especially interested in assuring that the PRC adheres to its WTO obligations. In legislation passed by the 106<sup>th</sup> Congress, the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) was required to begin monitoring the PRC's compliance with its WTO obligations, and to issue an annual report to Congress offering that assessment. The first USTR report under this provision was submitted to Congress in December 2002. In it, USTR judged that the PRC has made significant progress in many areas but still has major problems, primarily in IPR protections and improving the transparency of its trade laws.<sup>31</sup>

**Currency Valuation.** A more recent issue in U.S.-PRC bilateral economic relations involves the PRC's continued decision to keep the value of its currency low with respect to the dollar. Since 1994, the PRC has pegged its currency, the renminbi (RMB), to the U.S. dollar at a rate of about 8.3 RMB to the dollar. In 2003, many U.S. policymakers have increasingly concluded that this RMB/dollar peg is keeping the PRC's currency artificially undervalued, making PRC exports artificially cheap and making it harder for U.S. producers to compete fairly. U.S. critics of the PRC's currency valuation have charged that the PRC is unfairly manipulating its currency and they have urged Beijing either to raise the RMB's value vis-a-vis the dollar or to make it freely convertible, with its value determined by market forces. Legislation has been introduced in the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress (H.R. 3058) that would require the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury to analyze the PRC's exchange rate policies and, depending on the results of that analysis, to impose appropriate tariffs on PRC products to offset the percentage of price advantage the PRC gains from its currency policies.

**Banking.** In recent years, there has also been increasing concern about China's banking systems. Some leading authorities on China's economy have calculated that non-performing loans, primarily to insolvent state enterprises, account for a staggering 22% of the total lending of Chinese banks. (By comparison, South Korea, which received a record \$60 billion international bailout to narrowly avert financial collapse during the Asian financial crisis in 1997, non-performing loans accounted

---

<sup>31</sup> For further details on China's economy, see CRS Reports RS20139, *China and the World Trade Organization*; CRS Issue Brief IB91121, *China-U.S. Trade Issues*; and CRS Issue Brief IB98014, *China's Economic Conditions*, all by Wayne Morrison.

for about 6% of total bank loans.)<sup>32</sup> In a further complication, the banking sector's shaky financial condition continues to make it more difficult for the PRC to make the investments in infrastructure, energy production, and environmental improvements to fuel the rate of economic growth China needs in order to keep pace with its demographic requirements.

## National Security Issues

**North Korea.** On October 4, 2002, North Korea told visiting U.S. officials that it was conducting a clandestine uranium enrichment program to produce nuclear weapons, in technical violation of its pledges under the 1994 U.S.-North Korean Agreed Framework. The United States responded by suspending the energy assistance it had agreed to provide North Korea under the Agreed Framework. The resulting crisis has continued to escalate as North Korea has withdrawn from the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, restarted its moth-balled nuclear reactor at Yongbyon, and flight-tested a new long-range cruise missile.<sup>33</sup> North Korea has demanded bilateral talks with the United States to resolve the crisis, while U.S. officials are seeking multilateral talks, including PRC involvement.

PRC officials have repeatedly emphasized that China supports a non-nuclear Korean peninsula. This support is thought to be genuine, since an unpredictable North Korea armed with nuclear weapons could have unpleasant consequences for Beijing — such as the creation of nuclear weapons programs in currently non-nuclear countries like Taiwan, Japan, and South Korea, or an accelerated U.S. commitment for a regional missile defense program, to name only two. But Beijing has stopped short of promising to put further pressure on North Korea, and in fact continues to prop up the North Korean regime with supplies of food and fuel and to advocate bilateral U.S.-North Korean dialogue.

The growing North Korea crisis poses dilemmas for PRC policymakers and could have potentially serious consequences for U.S.-China relations. As North Korea's military ally, the PRC could be drawn into any military conflict involving North Korea — meaning the possibility of U.S.-China military confrontation should U.S. officials decide to bomb the North Korean reactor at Yongbyon to prevent plutonium reprocessing. In addition, since the PRC is North Korea's principle trade partner, any decision by the international community to impose sweeping economic sanctions against North Korea would appear to require PRC support. Lack of that support would undermine any sanctions effort and also damage U.S.-China relations. By the same token, collapse of the fragile North Korean regime could have equally unhappy consequences for the PRC, leading to floods of North Korean refugees into

---

<sup>32</sup> See CRS Report RL31363, *China's Banking Reforms: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Dick Nanto and Radha Sinha.

<sup>33</sup> North Korea reportedly tested its new long-range cruise missile on February 24, 2003, and restarted the nuclear reactor at Yongbyon several days later. Kralev, Nicholas, "Beijing rejects U.S. approach to Iraq, N. Korea; Powell raps human rights record," in *Washington Times*, February 25, 2003, p. A16; Gertz, Bill, "North Korea tested a cruise missile; U.S. revises view of Monday firing," *Washington Times*, February 27, 2003, p. A4. See also CRS Issue Brief IB98045, *Korea: U.S.-Korean Relations Issues for Congress*, by Larry Niksch.

China and to the probable advance of U.S. military forces from the South Korean side of the demilitarized zone to the PRC border.

**Weapons Proliferation.** For many years, U.S. officials and Members of Congress have been concerned about the PRC's track record of weapons sales, technology transfers, and nuclear energy assistance to certain countries in the Middle East and South Asia, particularly to Iran and Pakistan. While some U.S. officials have grown more confident that the PRC is changing its proliferation policies, Congressional and other critics charge that such confidence is misplaced.<sup>34</sup> They point out that for years, reputable sources have reported China to be selling ballistic missiles and technology for weapons of mass destruction (WMD) in the international market, primarily in the Middle East. Although these allegations have always created problems in Sino-U.S. relations, they have taken on new and potentially significant implications given entrenched suspicions about Iraq's possession of WMD as well as recent disclosures that both Iran and North Korea are actively pursuing nuclear weapons programs. The PRC has had close relationships with all three countries in the past, including sales of military equipment that could threaten U.S. forces in the region and missiles that could enhance a nuclear weapons capability.<sup>35</sup>

**Military Contacts.** Once one of the stronger linchpins of the relationship, U.S.-China military relations have never fully recovered after they were suspended following the 1989 Tiananmen Square crackdown. Nevertheless, both countries have cautiously resumed military contacts, although efforts to re-energize military ties since then have met with repeated setbacks. In June 2002, Peter Rodman, U.S. Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs, held talks with senior Chinese diplomats and military officials in Beijing, including: Xiong Guangkai, China's Deputy Chief of Staff; Chi Haotian, China's Defense Minister; and Li Zhaoxing, Vice Foreign Minister.<sup>36</sup> In October 2002, U.S. Vice-Admiral Paul Gaffney, President of the U.S. National Defense University, visited with PRC Defense Minister Chi Haotian in Beijing. Gaffney was the most senior U.S. military officer to visit China since the EP-3 collision in April 2001.

## Tibet

The political and cultural status of Tibet remains a difficult issue in U.S.-China relations and a matter of debate among U.S. policymakers. Controversy continues

---

<sup>34</sup> As reasons for such confidence, some point to the past decade, when the PRC has: 1992 — promised to abide by the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) and acceded to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT); 1993 — signed the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC); 1996 — signed the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, and; 1997 — joined the Zangger Committee of NPT exporters.

<sup>35</sup> Iran, for instance, has purchased from the PRC small numbers of SA-2 surface-to-air missiles, F-7 combat aircraft, fast-attack patrol boats, and C-802 anti-ship cruise missiles. Some Members of Congress have questioned whether Iran's possession of C-802's violates the Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act of 1992 (U.S.C. 1701), which requires sanctions on countries that sell destabilizing weapons to Iran or Iraq.

<sup>36</sup> For background and further details, see CRS Report 97-931, *China: Ballistic and Cruise Missiles*, by Shirley Kan.

over Tibet's current political status as part of China, the role of the Dalai Lama and his Tibetan government-in-exile, and the impact of Chinese control on Tibetan culture and religious traditions. The U.S. government recognizes Tibet as part of China and has always done so, although some dispute the historical consistency of this U.S. position. But the Dalai Lama, Tibet's exiled spiritual leader, has long had strong supporters in the U.S. Congress who have continued to pressure the White House to protect Tibetan culture and give Tibet greater status in U.S. law. It was largely because of this congressional pressure that in 1997, U.S. officials created the position of Special Coordinator for Tibetan issues, tasked with the specific mission of helping to promote talks between the Dalai Lama and the PRC government. The current Special Coordinator — Paula Dobriansky, Under Secretary of State for Global Affairs — is the highest-ranking U.S. official to have held this position.<sup>37</sup>

Although dialogue between the PRC and the Tibetan exile community remains officially stalled (no talks are currently scheduled or planned), a number of developments in 2002-2003 have led to speculation about whether there may be new momentum for progress between the two sides. Some observers have speculated that the stage may have been set for renewed momentum by changes since 2002 in the PRC leadership, particularly the ascendancy of Hu Jintao, the PRC's new President and Party General Secretary, who spent part of his career stationed in Tibet. In any event, observers are watching with interest a number of recent unusual developments that are outside the scope of what has come to be expected of Beijing's relations with the Dalai Lama's representatives. In 2002, the Dalai Lama's older brother, Gyalo Thondup, accepted a PRC invitation to spend several weeks in Tibet on a private visit. On at least three occasions since then, the PRC government has invited to China and to Lhasa (Tibet's capital) delegations from the Tibetan community led by the Dalai Lama's special envoy in the United States, Lodi Gyari. Further contacts and developments along these lines would reinforce the view that a quiet dialogue and perhaps compromise may be underway.

## Hong Kong and “Article 23”

On September 5, 2003, the Hong Kong government announced that as a result of strong public protests, it was at least temporarily shelving its efforts to enact anti-secession laws, known as the “Article 23” proposals. The decision was widely touted in the press as a victory for democracy and autonomy in Hong Kong and a surprising setback for the PRC government, which had been publicly supporting Hong Kong's adoption of the proposals.<sup>38</sup> An important component of the Hong Kong government's withdrawal of the proposals was a decision in late July 2003 by the Democratic Alliance for the Betterment of Hong Kong (DAB), a key pro-Beijing party that reversed its original position of support for quick passage of the bill and argued instead for more public consultations. Many suggest that the DAB's policy change is tactical, that DAB leaders do not want to send their candidates into the

---

<sup>37</sup> For background and details, see CRS Report RL30983, *Tibet, China, and the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress: Issues for U.S. Policy*.

<sup>38</sup> “People power triumphs in Hong Kong,” editorial in *The Asian Wall St. Journal*, September 8, 2003, p. A7.

2004 summer legislative elections saddled with the political burden of having been associated with such an unpopular measure.

Before its withdrawal, the “Article 23” proposal was to have been voted upon by Hong Kong’s Legislative Council on July 9, 2003, and although it was the subject of much public opposition, the measure generally was expected to be passed by the legislature. Instead, the issue became a significant crisis for Hong Kong’s leadership — and by extension, for the PRC government in Beijing. On July 1, 2003, a massive public demonstration in Hong Kong was held against the “Article 23” proposal, followed by additional protests in successive weeks. Critics maintained that the language proposed by the government far exceeded the requirements of Article 23, and that enactment of the measures would make it easier for Beijing to pressure Hong Kong to crack down on politically innocent acts — such as the Falun Gong spiritual movement and the Roman Catholic Church, both banned in China but legal in Hong Kong. Although the Tung government watered down some of the more controversial features of the proposal in a last-ditch effort to respond to public sentiment, it was seen as too little too late by the measure’s opponents.

On July 6, 2003, in an unexpected move that killed the legislative chances of the proposal, Mr. James Tien, leader of the usually pro-government Liberal Party and a member of Mr. Tung’s cabinet, resigned his cabinet post, saying he and his party could no longer support immediate legislative consideration of the measure. On July 16, 2003, Mr. Tung announced the additional resignations of his two least popular cabinet members, including Regina Ip, Secretary for Security and chief supporter of the Article 23 measure. Mr. Tung went to Beijing on July 19, 2003, for what was described as a “duty visit” to brief PRC officials about the Hong Kong political confrontation.

After Mr. Tung’s return to Hong Kong the week of July 21, 2003, the Hong Kong government announced that in September 2003, it would submit for public consultation a revised proposal that would make modifications in the government’s original “Article 23” plan to respond to some (not all) of the public’s objections to the measure. According to the government’s announcement, the modifications that would be incorporated included: protections for journalists who published “classified” information; the elimination of a provision that many believe would have allowed the Hong Kong government to ban Falun Gong and other groups Beijing finds objectionable; and the elimination of a provision that in emergencies would have allowed the police to conduct searches without warrants. It is this revised proposal that Chief Executive Tung withdrew in his September 5, 2003 announcement.

While the proposal has been temporarily shelved, some type of “Article 23” measure could well be considered again in the future, as Article 23 of the SAR’s constitution (the “Basic Law”) requires the government to enact laws to prohibit acts of “treason, succession, sedition,” or “theft of state secrets.” Given the public opposition in Hong Kong to the proposals this year, future attempts to enact anti-sedition proposals could affect U.S. policy toward Hong Kong, which is set out in the U.S.-Hong Kong Policy Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-383). In addition to requiring annual U.S. government reports on Hong Kong’s conditions through 2006, this Act allows the United States to treat Hong Kong differently from the way it treats the

PRC on the condition that Hong Kong remains autonomous. Under the Act, the President has the power to halt agreements or take other steps if he determines that Beijing is interfering unduly in Hong Kong's affairs.<sup>39</sup>

## U.S. Policy Trends

The current U.S. policy approach toward the PRC appears to have charted an uneasy middle territory between the three different camps into which the U.S. policy community had sorted itself over Sino-U.S. policy after the Tiananmen Square crackdown. Those camps are:

**Engagement.** The “engagement” approach toward the PRC, which dominated U.S. policy since the Nixon Administration, including in the George H. W. Bush and William Clinton Administrations. Underlying this approach is a belief that trends in China are moving inexorably in the “right” direction. That is, the PRC is becoming more economically interdependent with the international community and therefore will have a greater stake in pursuing stable international economic relationships. They contrast this behavior favorably with that of disruptive states such as Iraq or North Korea — those who are not part of the international system and who may support the kind of global terrorism that struck the United States on September 11, 2001. Some also believe that greater wealth in the PRC will push Chinese society in directions that will develop a materially better-off, more educated, and cosmopolitan populace that will, over time, press its government for greater political pluralism and democracy. Therefore, according to this view, U.S. policy should seek to work more closely with the PRC in order to encourage these positive long-term trends. Some proponents of the “engagement” approach fear that viewing the PRC as a “threat” is a self-fulfilling prophecy that could promote a number of potentially disastrous policy consequences for U.S. interests. These include a possible breakdown in PRC governance, a fragmentation of the country itself, or the creation of greater Chinese nationalism with a strong anti-American bias.

**Caution.** American proponents of what might be called a “cautious” policy toward the PRC stress that Beijing officials still view the world as a state-centered, competitive environment where power is respected and interdependence counts for little. This group sees PRC leaders as determined to use all means at their disposal to increase their nation's wealth and power. They suggest that PRC leaders may be biding their time and conforming to many international norms as a strategy, until China builds its economic strength and can take more unilateral action. Once it succeeds with economic modernization, this argument holds, Beijing will be less likely to curb its narrow nationalistic or other ambitions because of international

---

<sup>39</sup> A specific intention of the Hong Kong Policy Act was to permit the U.S. government to treat Hong Kong differently from the way it treats the rest of China in U.S. law. Thus, the United States has an extradition treaty with Hong Kong but not with China; maintains a liberalized export control regime with Hong Kong but a restrictive one with China; and gives Hong Kong permanent most-favored-nation (MFN) trade status — or “normal trade relations” as it is now known — but gave that status to China separately upon its accession to the WTO.



constraints or sensitivities. According to this approach, the United States should strengthen its regional alliances and maintain a robust military presence in Asia as a counterweight to the PRC.

**Threat.** A third and more confrontational American approach has been based on the premise that the PRC under its current form of government is inherently a threat to U.S. interests, and that the Chinese political system needs to change dramatically before the United States has any real hope of reaching a constructive relationship with the PRC. According to this approach, Beijing's communist leaders are inherently incapable of long-term positive ties with the United States. Rather, Beijing seeks to erode U.S. power and arm U.S. enemies in the region. Despite the statements of support for the U.S. anti-terrorism campaign, according to this view, the PRC's repeated violations of its non-proliferation commitments have actually contributed to strengthening and arming nations that harbor global terrorists. U.S. policy should focus on mechanisms to change the PRC from within while maintaining a vigilant posture to deal with disruptive PRC foreign policy actions in Asian and world affairs.

## Major Legislation

### **P.L. 108-7 (H.J.Res. 2)**

Consolidated Appropriations Resolution for FY2003. The law prohibits funds for export licenses for satellites of U.S. origin, including commercial satellites and component parts, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified at least 15 days in advance. The law as passed changes the name of the U.S.-China Security Review Commission to the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission and provides the Commission with \$1 million for salaries and expenses; prohibits U.S. funds made available for the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) may be used in the PRC; and provides that "not less than" \$25 million be made available to support democracy, human rights, and rule of law programs in the PRC, Hong Kong, and Tibet. The bill was introduced on January 7, 2003, passed the House by voice vote on January 8, 2003, and passed the Senate, amended, on January 23, 2003 (69-29). A Conference was held on February 10, 11, and 13, 2003, and Conference Report 108-10 was filed on February 13. The House agreed to the Conference Report on February 13 (338-83), as did the Senate (76-20). The bill was signed by the President on February 20, 2003, and became P.L. 108-7.

### **P.L. 108-28 (H.R. 441/S. 243)**

On Taiwan's admission as an observer to the World Health Organization (WHO). The bill amends P.L. 107-10 to authorize the United States to endorse and push for Taiwan's admission as an observer to the WHO at the annual summit of the World Health Assembly in Geneva in May 2003. Introduced on January 29, 2003, and referred to the House International Relations Committee, which marked up the bill on March 5, 2003. On March 11, 2003, the bill was considered under suspension of the rules, passing by a vote of 414-0. On April 9, 2003, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations favorably reported S. 243, which the Senate passed by unanimous consent on May 1, 2003. That bill was sent to the House International Relations Committee, which was discharged on May 14, 2003, on a motion by Rep. Rohrabacher. The House passed the measure on May 14, 2003, and the President signed the bill into law on May 29, 2003. Prior to this, on May 18, 2003, the United

States announced it would back Taiwan's bid for observer status at the WHO Geneva meeting.

**H.Con.Res. 98 (Ramstad)**

A resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the United States should negotiate a free trade agreement with Taiwan. Introduced March 18, 2003. Referred to House Ways and Means Committee's Trade Subcommittee on March 20, 2003.

**H.R. 247 (Wolf)**

Making appropriations for the Department of Commerce, State, Justice, and the Judiciary for FY2003. Title IV of the bill contains a provision prohibiting funds for export licenses for satellites of U.S. origin, including commercial satellites and component parts, unless the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations are notified at least 15 days in advance. The bill was introduced on January 8, 2003, and referred to the House Committee on Appropriations.

**H.R. 851 (Slaughter)**

To assess the impact of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the entry of the PRC into the World Trade Organization (WTO) on U.S. jobs, workers, and the environment. Introduced on February 13, 2003, and referred to the House Ways and Means Committee, Subcommittee on Trade.

## Chronology

- 09/11/03** — The Dalai Lama gave an address at the Washington National Cathedral, "Cultivating Peace as an Antidote to Violence." While in Washington, the Dalai Lama met with President Bush (September 9) and Secretary Powell (September 11).
- 09/05/03** — The Hong Kong government announced it was withdrawing the "Article 23" internal-security proposals until there was broader public consensus for the measure. The withdrawal was regarded as a setback for Beijing, which strongly supported the proposals.
- 07/28/03** — U.S. Under Secretary of State for International Security and Arms Control John Bolton began a second round of meetings in Beijing to discuss global security issues, including North Korea's nuclear weapons program and Iran.
- 07/16/03** — Hong Kong's Chief Executive, Mr. C. H. Tung, announced the resignations of his two least popular cabinet members, including Regina Ip, Secretary for Security and chief supporter of the "Article 23" measure.
- 07/01/03** — Massive public demonstrations were held in Hong Kong to protest the government's proposed "anti-sedition" laws, required by Hong Kong's de-facto constitution. Over half a million people were estimated to have taken part.

- 06/11/03** — The *Washington Post* cited Chinese sources as saying the PRC would reduce the size of the People's Liberation Army (PLA) over the next five years by 20%, or 500,000 troops.
- 06/10/03** — The *Asian Wall St. Journal* revealed that Morgan Stanley and Citigroup Inc. became the third and fourth investment institutions to win Chinese approval to begin investing in China's stock and bond markets.
- 06/06/03** — A U.S. federal judge issued an injunction prohibiting a Chinese company, Huawei Technologies Ltd., from using software that a U.S. company, Cisco, claimed was a copy of its own patented software.
- 06/01/03** — Chinese engineers began blocking the flow of the Yangtze River and filling the reservoir of the Three Gorges Dam in Hubei Province. The controversial project is scheduled to be completed in 2009.
- 05/23/03** — The Federal Register noted that the Department of State had imposed a two-year ban on U.S. imports from the PRC's North China Industries Corporation (NORINCO), having determined it had engaged in missile technology proliferation. The ban was made under the terms of Executive Order #12938 of November 14, 1994.
- 05/16/03** — The U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) announced a successful conclusion to the first coordinated U.S.-China sting operation against an international heroin-smuggling ring. Dubbed "Operation City Lights," the two-year effort involved agents from China, Hong Kong, and the United States.
- 05/08/03** — A Department of State spokesman announced that the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) had provided the Chinese Red Cross Society in the PRC with \$500,000 in emergency U.S. aid to help combat SARS.
- 05/07/03** — The U.S. Congressional-Executive Commission on China (CECC) issued a report concluding that the spread of SARS in China had been facilitated because of deficiencies in China's legal system and state control of the press.
- 04/28/03** — WHO's representative in China, Henk Bekedam, said in Beijing that even "very basic information" about new SARS cases in the city was still not being made available to WHO investigators.
- 04/20/03** — The PRC government announced that the Mayor of Beijing, Meng Xuenong, and the Minister of Health, Zhang Wenkang, were being removed from their positions for failing to effectively combat the SARS epidemic. PRC health officials also admitted that they had mismanaged the crisis and that cases had been greatly under-reported.

- 04/16/03** — WHO Officials said that the Chinese Government still was not doing enough to combat the new SARS virus. Health officials believe that the new virus strain originated in China's Guangdong Province in November 2002. To date, over 1400 cases have appeared in China.
- 04/11/03** — The United States announced it would not sponsor a resolution condemning China's human rights record at the annual meeting of the U.N. Human Rights Commission in Geneva.
- 03/16/03** — At the conclusion of the annual session of the PRC's de-facto legislature, the National People's Congress (NPC), PRC president Jiang Zemin, limited to two terms by the PRC's constitution, stepped down and Hu Jintao, current Party Secretary, was named as his successor.
- 02/28/03** — PRC officials released Zhang Qi, a U.S.-based Chinese dissident detained in China for 8 months. Ms. Zhang had been arrested along with her fiancée, Wang Bingzhang, who was convicted in a PRC court on February 9, 2003, on charges of spying for Taiwan and planning terrorist acts.
- 12/24/02** — Under heavy U.S. pressure, the PRC government released prominent democracy activist Xu Wenli, who was jailed for four years for trying to establish the China Democracy Party. Mr. Xu, released ostensibly for health reasons, flew to the United States with his wife.
- 11/08/02** — The 16<sup>th</sup> Party Congress began, ultimately resulting in the selection of a new 24-member Politburo, a new 9-member Standing Committee, and a new Party Secretary, Hu Jintao, who replaced former Party Secretary Jiang Zemin.
- 08/26/02** — U.S. Deputy Secretary of State Armitage announced the United States was placing the East Turkestan Islamic Movement on a list of terrorist groups.
- 08/25/02** — Beijing published new missile-related export control regulations.
- 01/01/02** — China received permanent normal trade relations from the United States as specified in P.L. 106-246.
- 12/11/01** — The PRC formally joined the World Trade Organization.
- 09/11/01** — Terrorists hijacked four U.S. commercial airliners and crashed them into the World Trade Center, the Pentagon, and in rural Pennsylvania. Senior PRC officials expressed their sympathy, condolences, and qualified support.
- 07/13/01** — Beijing won the right to host the 2008 Olympic Games.

- 04/12/01** — China released 24 American EP-3 crew members held since April 1, 2001.
- 04/01/01** — A PRC F8 fighter collided with a U.S. Navy EP-3 reconnaissance plane over the South China Sea. The EP-3 made an emergency landing on Hainan island.

## **For Additional Reading**

### **CRS Issue Briefs and Reports**

- CRS Issue Brief IB98034. *Taiwan: Recent Developments and U.S. Policy Choices.*
- CRS Report RL31729. *China-U.S. Relations in the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress: Policy Developments, 2001-2002.*
- CRS Report RL31661. *China's New Leadership Line-up: Implications for U.S. Policy.*
- CRS Report RS21351. *Sino-U.S. Summit, October 2002.*
- CRS Electronic Briefing Book, *Terrorism.*  
[<http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebter1.shtml>].
- CRS Report RS21292. *Agriculture: U.S.-China Trade Issues.*
- CRS Report RS20876. *Collision of U.S. and Chinese Aircraft: Selected Legal Considerations.*
- CRS Report RS20139. *China and the World Trade Organization.*
- CRS Report RL30983. *Tibet, China, and the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress.*
- CRS Report RS20476. *China's Xinjiang-Uighur Autonomous Region: Current Developments and U.S. Interests.*
- CRS Report RS20333. *China and 'Falun Gong.'*
- CRS Report RL30341. *China/Taiwan: Evolution of the 'One-China' Policy — Key Statements from Washington, Beijing, and Taipei.*
- CRS Report RL31164. *China: Labor Conditions and Unrest.*

## Appendix I

### Selected Visits by U.S. and PRC Officials

**July 28, 2003** — U.S. Under Secretary of State for International Security and Arms Control John Bolton began a second round of meetings in Beijing on global security issues, including North Korea's nuclear weapons program and Iran.

**April 23, 2003** — U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian Affairs James Kelly completed a first day of talks in China on North Korea's nuclear weapons program.

**February 23-24, 2003** — Secretary of State Colin Powell met with PRC leaders in Beijing as part of a trip to China, Japan, and South Korea.<sup>40</sup>

**February 16-20, 2003** — U.S. Trade Representative Robert Zoellick visited China, including stops in Beijing, Chongqing, Shanghai, and Hong Kong.

**January 21, 2003** — U.S. Under Secretary of State for International Security and Arms Control John Bolton held talks in China on North Korea's nuclear weapons program.

**December 16, 2002** — Lorne Craner, U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor, arrived in China with a U.S. delegation for the China Human Rights Dialogue. On December 18, 2002, the group went on to the Xinjiang-Uighur Autonomous Region in China's far northwest.

**October 25, 2002** — President Bush held a state visit with PRC President Jiang Zemin at the president's ranch in Crawford, Texas.

**October 18, 2002** — U.S. Assistant Secretary of State James Kelly arrived in Beijing to discuss issues involving North Korea.

**October 8 - 14, 2002** — U.S. Vice-Admiral Paul Gaffney, President of the U.S. National Defense University, led an 8-member team from the U.S. National Defense University for meetings in China. The group met with PRC Defense Minister Chi Haotian in Beijing, then visited Xi'an, Hangzhou, and Shanghai. Gaffney was the most senior U.S. military officer to visit China since the EP-3 incident in April 2001.

**August 26, 2002** — Deputy Secretary of State Richard Armitage, in Beijing for a series of meetings, announced that the United States was placing the East Turkestan Islamic Movement, a group in China, on a U.S. terrorist list.

**June 25, 2002** — U.S. Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Peter Rodman arrived in Beijing for official talks.

---

<sup>40</sup> In conjunction with Iraq-related meetings of the U.N. Security Council, Secretary Powell also held bilateral talks in New York in 2003 with PRC Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan on January 19, February 4, February 24, March 7, and March 14 of 2003.

**February 21-22, 2002** — President Bush visited China, Japan, and South Korea. The visit resulted in no new U.S.-China agreements, nor were any anticipated.

## Appendix II

### Selected U.S. Government Reporting Requirements

#### **International Religious Freedom Report, China** (*annual report*)

Most recent date available: October 7, 2002

Agency: U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor

Legislative authority: P.L. 105-292, The International Religious Freedom Act (IRFA) of 1998, Section 102(b).

Full text: [<http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/irf/2002/13870.htm>]

#### **U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom** (*annual report*)

Most recent date available: May 2003

Agency: U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom (USCIRF)

Legislative authority: P.L. 105-292, of the International Religious Freedom Act (IRFA) of 1998, Section 203.

Full text: [<http://www.uscirf.gov/reports/02May03/finalReport.php3>]

#### **Reports on Human Rights Practices, China** (*annual report*)

Most recent date available: March 31, 2003

Agency: U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor  
Legislative authority: The Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (FAA), as amended, Sections 116(d) and 502(b); and the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, Section 504

Full text: [<http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2002/18239.htm>]

#### **Military Power of the People's Republic of China** (*annual report*)

Most recent date available: July 28, 2003

Agency: U.S. Department of Defense

Legislative authority: P.L. 106-65, the National Defense Authorization Act for FY2000, Section 1202

Full text: [<http://www.defenselink.mil/pubs/20030730chinaex.pdf>]

#### **Unclassified Report to Congress on the Acquisition of Technology Relating to Weapons of Mass Destruction and Advanced Conventional Munitions** (*semi-annual report*)

Most recent date available: January 1 through June 30, 2002

Agency: Director of Central Intelligence

Legislative authority: FY1997 Intelligence Authorization Act, Section 721

Full text: [[http://www.cia.gov/cia/reports/721\\_reports/jan\\_jun2002.html](http://www.cia.gov/cia/reports/721_reports/jan_jun2002.html)]

#### **International Narcotics Control Strategy Report, 2002** (*annual report*)

Most recent date available: March 2003

Agency: U.S. Department of State, Bureau for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Matters

Legislative authority: Section 489 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (the "FAA," 22 U.S.C. § 2291); sections 481(d)(2) and 484(c) of the FAA; and section 804 of the Narcotics Control Trade Act of 1974,



as amended). Also provides the factual basis for designations in the President's report to Congress on major drug-transit or major illicit drug producing countries pursuant to P.L. 107-115, the Kenneth M. Ludden Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2002, Section 591.

Full text: [<http://www.state.gov/g/inl/rls/nrcrpt/2002/html/17940.htm>]

**Report to Congress on China's WTO Compliance** (*annual report*)

Most recent date: December 11, 2002

Agency: United States Trade Representative

Legislative authority: P.L. 106-186, the U.S.-China Relations Act of 2000, authorizing extension of Permanent Normal Trade Relations to the PRC, section 421.

Full text:

[[http://www.ustr.gov/regions/china-hk-mongolia-taiwan/2002-12-11-China\\_WTO\\_compliance\\_report.PDF](http://www.ustr.gov/regions/china-hk-mongolia-taiwan/2002-12-11-China_WTO_compliance_report.PDF)]

**Report Monitoring to Congress on Implementation of the 1979 U.S.-PRC Agreement on Cooperation in Science and Technology** (*biannual report*)

Most recent date: Pending (due April 1, 2004)

Agency: U.S. Department of State, Office of Science and Technology Cooperation

Legislative Authority: P.L. 107-314, Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act Section for FY2003, Section 1207.

Full text: Due April 1, 2004.

**Report on Tibet Negotiations** (*annual report*)

Most recent date: May 16, 2003

Agency: U.S. Department of State, Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs

Legislative Authority: P.L. 107-228, Foreign Relations Authorization Act, 2003 Section 613.

Full text: [<http://www.state.gov/p/eap/rls/rpt/20699.htm>]